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## Preface

This paper contains a very short introduction to the MethComp package, a coupe of worked examples, and a complete print of the man pages.
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## Chapter 1

## MethComp overview

The purpose of the MethComp package is to provide computational tools to manipulate, display and analyze data from method comparison studies. A method comparison study is a study where two methods of quantitative measurement are compared by measuring the same set of items with both methods.

There may be more than two methods, and there may be replicate measurements on each item by each method.

### 1.1 Data structures

In general we are concerned with measurements by different methods, on different items (persons, samples), possibly replicated.

Often such data are represented by a row of measurements for each item, with possible replicates listed either below or beside each other. This implicitly assumes that some replicate measurements belong together, which is not necessarily the case in all situations.

All functions in MethComp assume data to be represented in the "long" form, with one measurement on each row, and columns to indicate method, item and replicate. Specifically, we assume the following columns are available in a data frame:

- meth The measurement method. Numeric or factor.
- item Identification of item (person, sample). Numeric or factor.
- repl Replicate number. Numeric or factor.
- y The measurement by method meth on item item, replicate number repl.

There is a class, "Meth" for this kind of data frame. A dataframe is converted to a Meth object by using the Meth function on it:

```
> data( ox )
> str( ox )
```

```
'data.frame': 354 obs. of 4 variables:
$ meth: Factor w/ 2 levels "CO","pulse": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
$ item: num 1 1 1 2 2 2 3 3 3 4 ...
$ repl: num 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 ...
$ y : num 78 76.4 77.2 68.7 67.6 68.3 82.9 80.1 80.7 62.3 ...
> ox <- Meth( ox )
The following variables from the dataframe
"ox" are used as the Meth variables:
meth: meth
item: item
repl: repl
    y: y
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{\#Replicates} \\
\hline Method & 1 & 2 & 3 & \#Items & \#Obs: & 354 & Values: & min & med & max \\
\hline CO & 1 & 4 & 56 & 61 & & 177 & & 22.2 & 78.6 & 93.5 \\
\hline pulse & 1 & 4 & 56 & 61 & & 177 & & 24.0 & 75.0 & 94.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
> summary( ox )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{11}{|c|}{\#Replicates} \\
\hline Method & 1 & , & 3 & \#Items & \#Obs: & 354 & Values: & min & med & max \\
\hline CO & 1 & 4 & 56 & 61 & & 177 & & 22.2 & 78.6 & 93.5 \\
\hline pulse & 1 & 4 & 56 & 61 & & 177 & & 24.0 & 75.0 & 94.0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

If these variable are not availabe in the data frame we may create them on the fly or by giving the variable positions as arguments to the Meth function:

```
> data( fat )
> str( fat )
'data.frame': 258 obs. of 5 variables:
    $ Id : num 1 1 1 3 3 3 5 5 5 11 ...
    $ Obs: Factor w/ 2 levels "KL","SL": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
    $ Rep: num 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 ...
    $ Sub: num 1.6 1.7 1.7 2.8 2.9 2.8 2.7 2.8 2.9 3.9 ...
    $ Vic: num 4.5 4.4 4.7 6.4 6.2 6.5 3.6 3.9 4 4.3 ...
> sc <- Meth( fat, 2, 1, 3, 4 )
The following variables from the dataframe
"fat" are used as the Meth variables:
meth: Obs
item: Id
repl: Rep
    y: Sub
                #Replicates
Method rram # # %rems #Obs: 258 Values: min med max
    SL 43 43 129 0.51 1.7 4.1
```

```
> str( sc )
```

Classes 'Meth' and 'data.frame': 258 obs. of 5 variables:
\$ meth: Factor w/ 2 levels "KL","SL": 11111111111 ...
\$ item: Factor w/ 43 levels "1","2", "3", "4",..: 111133355511 ...
\$ repl: Factor w/ 3 levels "1","2","3": 1231231231 ...
\$ y : num $1.61 .71 .72 .82 .92 .82 .72 .82 .93 .9 \ldots$
\$ Vic : num $4.54 .44 .76 .46 .26 .53 .63 .944 .3 \ldots$
> summary( sc )

|  | \#Replicates |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Method | 3 | \#Items | \#Obs: | 258 | Values: | min med max |
| KL | 43 | 43 | 129 | 0.39 | 1.7 | 4.2 |
| SL | 43 | 43 | 129 | 0.51 | 1.7 | 4.1 |

We may even give some of them as names of the columns in the dataframe:

```
> vi <- Meth( fat, 2,1,"Rep","Vic" )
The following variables from the dataframe
"fat" are used as the Meth variables:
meth: Obs
item: Id
repl: Rep
    y: Vic
        #Replicates
Method 3 #Items #Obs: 258 Values: min med max
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
KL & 43 & 43 & 129 & 2.0 & 3.9 & 6.5 \\
SL & 43 & 43 & 129 & 2.3 & 4.1 & 6.7
\end{tabular}
```

on the fly using the with function (from the base package), so that columns from the dataframe can be directly referred to:

```
> data( hba1c )
> str( hba1c )
'data.frame': 835 obs. of 6 variables:
    $ dev : Factor w/ 3 levels "BR.V2","BR.VC",..: 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 1 1...
    $ type : Factor w/ 2 levels "Cap","Ven": 2 2 2 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 ...
    $ item : num 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 12 ...
    $ d.samp: num 111111111111111 1...
    $ d.ana : num 2 3 4 5 2 3 4 5 2 3 ...
    $ y : num 8.7 8.7 8.7 8.7 9.2 9 8.8 8.7 9.4 9.3 ...
> hb1 <- with( hba1c,
+ Meth( meth = interaction(dev,type),
+ item = item,
+ repl = d.ana-d.samp,
+ y = y, print=TRUE ) )
```



Objects of class Meth (which inherits from data.frame) has methods such as summary, plot, subset and transform. The functions mostly do not require the data to be in Meth format - if a dataframe with the right columns is supplied, it is normally converted internally to Meth format.

### 1.2 Function overview

The following is a brief overview of the functions in the MethComp package. The full documentation is in the help pages for the functions, and an illustration of the way they work can be obtained by referring to the printed manual at the end of this document or on the fly by typing e.g.:

```
> ?plot.Meth
```

which will bring up the manual page for the function plot.meth. The example code from the manual page can be run directly by:

```
> example( plot.Meth )
```


### 1.2.1 Graphical functions

BA.plot Makes a Bland-Altman plot of two methods from a data frame with method comparison data, and computes limits of agreement. The plotting is really done by a call to the function BlandAltman.

BlandAltman draws a Bland-Altman plot and computes limits of agreement.
plot.Meth Plots all methods against all others, both as a scatter plot and as a Bland-Altman plot.
bothlines Adds regression lines of $y$ on $x$ and vice versa to a scatter plot. Optionally, the Deming regression line can be added too.

### 1.2.2 Data manipulating functions

make.repl Generates (or replaces) a repl column in a data frame with columns meth, item and y.
perm.repl Randomly permutes replicates within (method,item) and assigns new replicate numbers.
to. wide Transforms a data frame in the long form to the wide form where separate columns for each method are generated, with one row per (item,replicate).
to.long Reverses the result of to.wide. The function can also generate a long form dataset from a dataset with different methods beside each other.
summary. Meth Tabulates items by method and no. replicates for a Meth object.
Meth.sim Simulates a dataset from a method comparison experiment for given parameters for bias, exchangeability and variance component sizes.

### 1.2.3 Analysis functions

BA.est Estimates in the variance components models underlying the concept of limits of agreement, and returns the bias and the variance components. Assumes constant bias between methods.

Deming Performs Deming regression, i.e. regression with errors in both variables.
DA.reg Regresses the differeneces between methods on the averages and derives approximate linear conversion equations, based on [?].

AltReg Estimates via alternating regressions in the general model. Returns estimates of mean conversion parameters and variance components.

MCmcmc Estimates via BUGS in the general model with non-constant bias (and in the future) possibly non-constant standard deviations of the variance components. Produces a MCmcmc object, which is an mcmc.list object with some extra attributes. mcmc.list objects are handeled by the coda package, so this is required when calling MCmcmc.

### 1.2.4 Reporting functions

Some of these functions all take a MCmcmc object as input, others will postprocess the output of DA.reg, BA.est or AltReg.

The functions BA.est, AltReg return objects that have class MethComp, whereas the result of MCmcmc can be converted to an object of this type by the MethComp function. The reason for this is that the results of the MCmcmc function is output from an MCMC-simulation which we may want to monitor by specisl functions. The MethComp
function only takes the central summaries from the MCmcmc object assuming the chains have reached convergence.
print. MethComp Prints a table of conversion equation between methods analyzed, with prediction standard deviations.
print.MCmcmc Prints a table of conversion equation between methods analyzed, with prediction standard deviations, but also gives summaries of the posteriors for the parameters that constitute the conversion algorithms.
plot. MethComp, plot.MCmcmc Plots the conversion lines between methods with prediction limits.
post.MCmcmc Plots smoothed posterior densities for the estimates. Primarily of interest for the variance components, but it has aruments to produce the posterior of the intercepts and the slopes of the conversion lines between methods too.
check. MCmcmc Makes diagnistic plots of the traces of the chains included in the MCmcmc object.

## Chapter 2

## Worked examples using MethComp

### 2.1 Fat measurements: Exchangeable replicates

The fat data from the MethComp package contains measurements of subcutaneous and visceral fat on 43 persons, by two observers, KL and SL. Each measurement is replicated 3 times.

First we examine the names in the dataframe, and then use Meth to convert it to a form that comply with that required by the functions in the MethComp package for analyzing visceral fat - we convert it to a Meth object:

```
> data(fat)
> str(fat)
'data.frame': 258 obs. of 5 variables:
    $ Id : num 1 1 1 3 3 3 5 5 5 11 ...
    $ Obs: Factor w/ 2 levels "KL","SL": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
    $ Rep: num 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 ...
    $ Sub: num 1.6 1.7 1.7 2.8 2.9 2.8 2.7 2.8 2.9 3.9 ...
    $ Vic: num 4.5 4.4 4.7 6.4 6.2 6.5 3.6 3.9 4 4.3 ...
> vis <- Meth( fat, 2,1,3,5 )
```

The following variables from the dataframe
"fat" are used as the Meth variables:
meth: Obs
item: Id
repl: Rep
y: Vic
\#Replicates

| Method | 3 | \#Items | \#Obs: | 258 Values: | min med max |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| KL | 43 | 43 | 129 | 2.0 | 3.9 | 6.5 |
| SL | 43 | 43 | 129 | 2.3 | 4.1 | 6.7 |

>str(vis)

```
Classes 'Meth' and 'data.frame': }258\mathrm{ obs. of 5 variables:
    $ meth: Factor w/ 2 levels "KL","SL": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
    $ item: Factor w/ 43 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 1 1 1 < 3 3 3 5 5 5 11 ...
    $ repl: Factor w/ 3 levels "1","2","3": 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 2 3 1 ...
    $ y : num 4.5 4.4 4.7 6.4 6.2 6.5 3.6 3.9 4 4.3 ...
    $ Sub : num 1.6 1.7 1.7 2.8 2.9 2.8 2.7 2.8 2.9 3.9 ...
> summary(vis)
\begin{tabular}{rrrlll} 
& \#Replicates & & \\
Method & 3 & \#Items \#Obs: & 258 Values: & min med max \\
KL & 43 & 43 & 129 & 2.0 & 3.9 \\
SL & 43 & 43 & 129 & 2.3 & 4.1 \\
& 43 & 6.7
\end{tabular}
```

The two methods plotted against each other requires that we use the replicate number for pairing the measurements; so we just keep the ordering among the replicates when using to.wide:

```
> pw <- to.wide( vis )
> par( mar=c(3,3,1,1) )
> with(pw, plot( SL ~ KL, pch=16, xlim=range(vis$y), ylim=range(vis$y) ) )
> abline( 0,1 )
```

Since replicates are exchangeable witin (method, item) we should get the same sort of overview of the data after a random permutation of the replicates. Plotting the data using the original replicate numbers for pairing and then a random permutation is shown in figure 2.2:

```
> plot( vis )
> plot( perm.repl( vis ) )
```

These two plots are shown in figure 2.2 where it is pretty clar that the random permutation of replicates has little effect.

BA. plot produces a Bland-Altman plot and computes the limits of agreement using the pairing of replicates across methods based on the numbering of replicates. However we do not want the replicates to be connected, so we must specify this explicitly:

```
> par( mar=c(3,3,3,3), mgp=c(3,1,0)/1.6 )
> BA.plot( vis, conn.repl=FALSE )
Limits of agreement:
    SL - KL 2.5% limit 97.5% limit SD(diff)
    0.1550388 -0.5612718 0.8713493 0.3581553
```

We see that using this approximation we get limits of agreement for KL-SL of ( $-0.86,0.55$ ).

Moreover, there seems to be no indication that the difference between observers or the variance varies with the level of measurement. This can be a bit more formally tested using the DA.reg function (again using the existing pairing of replicates):


Figure 2.1: Two observers measuring visceral fat.

```
> DA.reg( vis )
```

Conversion between methods:
alpha beta sd.pred beta=1 int(t-f) slope(t-f) sd(t-f) int(sd) slope(sd) sd=K
To: From:

| KL | KL | 0.000 | 1.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | NA | NA | NA |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | SL | -0.340 | 1.044 | 0.365 | 0.158 | -0.333 | 0.043 | 0.357 | 0.462 | -0.024 | 0.275 |
| SL | KL | 0.326 | 0.957 | 0.349 | 0.158 | 0.333 | -0.043 | -0.357 | 0.462 | -0.024 | 0.275 |
|  | SL | 0.000 | 1.000 | NA | NA | 0.000 | 0.000 | NA | NA | NA | NA |

From the last two columns (p-values for tests of constant difference and constant sd.) it is clear that there are no obvious violations of the assumptions about constant difference or about constant variation across the range of measurements.

Setting up a proper variance component model we get only slightly different limits of agreement (note that we must specify the replicates to be exchangeable):

```
> (vis.est <- BA.est( vis, linked=FALSE ) )
Conversion between methods:
    alpha beta sd.pred LoA-lo LoA-up
```



Figure 2.2: Plot of two methods of measuring visceral fat, using different pairings of the replicates; the left panel is using the pairing in the original coding, the right panel is with a random permutation of replicates.

| To: From: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KL | KL | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.273 | -0.545 | 0.545 |
|  | SL | -0.155 | 1.000 | 0.364 | -0.883 | 0.573 |
| SL | KL | 0.155 | 1.000 | 0.364 | -0.573 | 0.883 |
|  | SL | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.245 | -0.490 | 0.490 |
| Variance components (sd) : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| IxR MxI res |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| KL 000.1810 .193 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SL |  | 1810.173 |  |  |  |  |

Moreover we get the coefficient of reproducibility for each of the methods; that is an upper $95 \%$ confidence interval for the absolute difference between two measurements by the same method on the same

We can visualize the difference between the ad-hoc-computed LoA and the model based ones by plotting them in the same graph:

```
> par( mar=c(3,3,1,3), mgp=c(3,1,0)/1.6)
> BA.plot( vis, conn.repl=FALSE )
Limits of agreement:
    SL - KL 2.5% limit 97.5% limit }\quad\begin{array}{rrrr}{\mathrm{ SD(diff)}}\\{0.1550388}&{-0.5612718 0.8713493 }&{0.3581553}
```

> abline( h=vis.est\$LoA[1:3], col="red" )


Figure 2.3: Bland-Altman plot of two observers measuring visceral fat.

As predicted by the theory, the limits based on the $a d$-hoc paired replicates are roughly equal to those derived from the proper variance component model - see figure 2.4.

In order to illustrate the effect of basing the limits of agreement on the mean over the replicates we use the argument mean.repl, and the trick of using $\operatorname{par}($ new $=T$ ) to over plot:

```
> par( mar=c(3,3,1,3), mgp=c(3,1,0)/1.6 )
> BA.plot(vis,mean.repl=T, limy=c(-1,1), limx=c(2,7),col=gray(0.7),col.lines=gray(0.5), conn.repl=FALS
Limits of agreement:
    SL - KL 2.5% limit 97.5% limit SD(diff)
    0.1550388 -0.4371295 0.7472070 0.2960841
> par(new=T)
> BA.plot(vis,mean.repl=F,limy=c(-1,1), limx=c(2,7),cex=0.7,conn.repl=FALSE)
Limits of agreement:
    SL - KL 2.5% limit 97.5% limit SD(diff)
    0.1550388 -0.5612718 0.8713493 0.3581553
```

The two superposed Bland-Altman plots are shown in figure ??.


Figure 2.4: Bland-Altman-plot of two methods of measuring visceral fat, using different pairings of the replicates. The blue lines are the LoA based on taking the paired replicates as items, the red lines are based on the estimates from the proper variance component model.


Figure 2.5: Bland-Altman-plot of two methods of measuring visceral fat, based on the arbitrary pairing of the replicates (black) and on the mean over replicates (grey).

### 2.2 Cardiac output: Linked replicates?

The dataset is adapted from table 4 in: JM Bland and DG Altman: Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 8:136-160, 1999. Originally supplied to Bland \& Altman by Dr LS Bowling, see: Bowling LS, Sageman WS, O'Connor SM, Cole R, Amundson DE. Lack of agreement between measurement of ejection fraction by impedance cardiography versus radionuclide ventriculography. Critical Care Medicine 1993; 21: 1523-27.

It consists of measurements of cardiac output on 12 persons. For each person the cardiac output is measured repeatedly (three to six times) by impedance cardiography (IC) and radionuclide ventriculography (RV).

The dataset is supplied with the MethComp package, and comes with the correct variable names, so it can immediately be transformed into a Meth object:

```
> data( cardiac )
> cardiac <- Meth( cardiac )
The following variables from the dataframe
"cardiac" are used as the Meth variables:
meth: meth
item: item
repl: repl
    y: y
        #Replicates
Method 3 4 5 6 #Items #Obs: 120 Values: min med max
    IC 1 1 3 3 5 12 12 60 2.32 4.610 7.40
    RV 1
```

It is not clear from the description of the dataset whether replicates are linked across methods or not, but a quick check can be made graphically by making a Bland-Altman plot on the data as supplied and on the dat where replicates are randomly permuted, and then compare them as in figure 2.2.

```
> par( mfrow=c(1,2), mar=c(3,3,1,3), mgp=c(3, 1,0)/1.6)
> BA.plot( cardiac , limy=c(-3,3) )
Limits of agreement:
    RV - IC 2.5% limit 97.5% limit SD(diff)
    0.6021667 -1.3199476 2.5242809 0.9610571
> BA.plot( perm.repl(cardiac), limy=c(-3,3) )
Limits of agreement:
    RV - IC 2.5% limit 97.5% limit SD(diff)
    0.6021667 -1.3963857 2.6007190 0.9992762
```

A slightly more formal handle can be obtained by fitting models assuming constant difference between methods. The models are fitted, one with an item(=person) by replicate effect, and one without:


Figure 2.6: Bland-Altman plots of the cardiac data. The left panel is the orginal data using replicate numbers to pair mesurements, the right is using a random permutation of replicates for the pairing. Even if replicates are claimed to be linked, the replicates the LoA in the right panel are not substantially wider.

```
> BA.est( cardiac, linked=TRUE )
```

Conversion between methods:
alpha beta sd.pred LoA-lo LoA-up
To: From:

| IC | IC | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.449 | -0.898 | 0.898 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | RV | -0.705 | 1.000 | 1.022 | -2.748 | 1.339 |
| RV | IC | 0.705 | 1.000 | 1.022 | -1.339 | 2.748 |
|  | RV | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.374 | -0.749 | 0.749 |

```
Variance components (sd):
```

    IxR MxI res
    IC 0.1930 .6610 .317
RV 0.1930 .6610 .265
> BA.est ( cardiac, linked=FALSE )

Conversion between methods:
alpha beta sd.pred LoA-lo LoA-up
To: From:

| IC | IC | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.525 | -1.050 | 1.050 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | RV | -0.702 | 1.000 | 1.049 | -2.801 | 1.396 |
| RV | IC | 0.702 | 1.000 | 1.049 | -1.396 | 2.801 |
|  | RV | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.463 | -0.926 | 0.926 |

```
Variance components (sd):
    IxR MxI res
IC 0 0.654 0.371
RV 0 0.654 0.328
```

We see that there is a some variation between replicates, which we would not expect to see if replicates were exchangeable. In the model where we (erroneously) assume replicates to be exchangeable, we see that it is the residual variances that gets inflated. We can check the assumptions about constant bias and constant variance across the range of measurements by fitting a straight line to the differences as function of the averages (using the given linking of replicates). Note that the argument reg.line $=3$ gives printed output and graph annotation of the relationship between methods with three digits after the decimal point:

```
> BA.card <- BA.plot( cardiac, limy=c(-2,4), reg.line=3 )
Limits of agreement:
    RV - IC 2.5% limit 97.5% limit SD(diff)
    0.6021667 -1.3199476 2.5242809 0.9610571
RV-IC = 0.422 + 0.036 (RV+IC)/2 (95% p.i.: +/-1.937)
res.sd = 0.968 se(beta) = 0.103 , P = 0.7300
IC = -0.415 + 0.965 RV (95% p.i.: +/-1.903)
RV = 0.430 + 1.036 IC (95% p.i.: +/-1.972)
```

There is a some indication that the variance is not constant, but seen from the figure it does not seem alarming, it presumbaly hinges on the 6 points to the far left of the plot. An informal test of this can be obtained by using the function DA.reg, which regresses the Differences between methods on the Averages, and additionally regresses the absolute values of the residuals from this analysis on the averages, so as to give an indication as to whether the residual standard deviation depends linearly on the mean:

```
> DA.reg( cardiac )
Conversion between methods:
    alpha beta sd.pred beta=1 int(t-f) slope(t-f) sd(t-f) int(sd) slope(sd) sd=K
To: From:
\begin{tabular}{rrrrrrrrrrr} 
IC & IC & 0.000 & 1.000 & NA & NA & 0.000 & 0.000 & NA & NA & NA \\
& RV & -0.415 & 0.965 & 0.951 & 0.730 & -0.422 & -0.036 & 0.968 & 0.136 & 0.168 \\
RV & IC & 0.430 & 1.036 & 0.986 & 0.730 & 0.422 & 0.036 & -0.968 & 0.136 & 0.168 \\
& RV & 0.000 & 1.000 & NA & NA & 0.000 & 0.000 & NA & NA & NA
\end{tabular}
```

If we fit a variance component model using BA.est as before, we can explore what effect it has on the repeatability (the prediction of a method from itself) if we include the variation between replicates or not:

```
> BA.est( cardiac, linked=TRUE, IxR.pr=FALSE )
```



Figure 2.7: Bland-Altman plot of the cardiac data with a fitted regression line.

Conversion between methods:
alpha beta sd.pred LoA-lo LoA-up
To: From:

| IC | IC | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.449 | -0.898 | 0.898 |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | RV | -0.705 | 1.000 | 1.022 | -2.748 | 1.339 |
| RV | IC | 0.705 | 1.000 | 1.022 | -1.339 | 2.748 |
|  | RV | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.374 | -0.749 | 0.749 |

Variance components (sd):
IxR MxI res

```
IC 0.193 0.661 0.317
RV 0.193 0.661 0.265
> BA.est( cardiac, linked=TRUE, IxR.pr=TRUE )
    Conversion between methods:
    alpha beta sd.pred LoA-lo LoA-up
To: From:
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
IC IC & 0.000 & 1.000 & 0.525 & -1.050 & 1.050
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllll}
-0.705 & 1.000 & 1.022 & -2.748 & 1.339
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{lllllll} 
RV IC & 0.705 & 1.000 & 1.022 & -1.339 & 2.748
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{llllll} 
RV & 0.000 & 1.000 & 0.463 & -0.926 & 0.926
\end{tabular}
Variance components (sd):
    IxR MxI res
IC 0.193 0.661 0.317
RV 0.193 0.661 0.265
```

The former is for the situation where we consider the variation between replicate measurements as a part of the repeatability conditions (even if the replicates are linked), the latter where we consider the variation between replicates to be irrelevant to the assessment of repeatability. However there is not much indication of linked estimates, since the other two variance components are virtually unchanged between the two analyses, and hence the predictions between methods based on the two approaches will be the same.

### 2.3 Systolic blood pressure: Linked replicates by two methods

We first load the systolic blood pressure data from the MethComp package.

```
> data( sbp )
> sbp <- Meth( sbp )
The following variables from the dataframe
"sbp" are used as the Meth variables:
meth: meth
item: item
repl: repl
    y: y
        #Replicates
Method 
> str(sbp)
Classes 'Meth' and 'data.frame': 765 obs. of 4 variables:
    $ meth: Factor w/ 3 levels "J","R","S": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
    $ item: Factor w/ 85 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
    $ repl: Factor w/ 3 levels "1","2","3": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
$ y : num 100 108 76 108 124 122 116 114 100 108 ...
> plot( sbp )
```

The resulting plot is shown in figure 2.8, clearly shows that the two manual measurements are in much closer agreement than any of them are with the automatic.
plot. Meth pairs replicates according to their numbering and treat them as separate items, so the plots fail to take the dependence of observations nto account.

We want to restrict our attention to the comparison of the two manual methods, but using the replicate measurements.

In this context it is important that we recognize whether the replicates are linked across the two methods or not. In this case they are, i.e. replicates are not exchangeable within methods and items.

```
> par( mar=c(3,3,3,3), mgp=c(3,1,0)/1.6 )
> sbp <- subset( sbp, meth %in% c("J","R") )
>str( sbp )
Classes 'Meth' and 'data.frame': 510 obs. of 4 variables:
    $ meth: Factor w/ 2 levels "J","R": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
    $ item: Factor w/ 85 levels "1","2","3","4",..: 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 ...
    $ repl: Factor w/ 3 levels "1","2","3": 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 ...
    $ y : num 100 108 76 108 124 122 116 114 100 108 ...
```

```
> BA.plot( sbp )
```

Limits of agreement:

$$
\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{J} \quad 2.5 \% \text { limit } 97.5 \% \text { limit } \quad \text { SD (diff) }
$$

$$
-0.08627451-4.60761840 \quad 4.43506938 \quad 2.26067194
$$

A slightly more informative plot can be obtained by explicitly regulating the $y$-dimension of the plot by the argument ymax=:

```
> BA.plot( sbp, ymax=15 )
Limits of agreement:
    R - J 2.5% limit 97.5% limit SD(diff)
-0.08627451 -4.60761840 4.43506938 2.26067194
```

The resulting plots are shown in figure 2.9.


Figure 2.8: Graphical overview of the sbp data. The methods $J$ and $R$ are two human observers, whereas method $S$ is an automatic device.

In order to properly partition the variance and produce limits of agreement or a translation between the two observers, we should fit the relevant variance component model, assuming linked replicates:

$$
y_{\text {mir }}=\alpha_{m}+\mu_{i}+a_{i r}+c_{m i}+e_{m i r}, \quad a_{i r} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \omega^{2}\right), \quad c_{m i} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \tau_{m}^{2}\right), \quad e_{m i r} \sim \mathcal{N}\left(0, \sigma_{m}^{2}\right)
$$

Since we only have two methods, we cannot identify separate variance components $\tau_{1}$ and $\tau_{2}$, so we are forced to assume that $\tau_{1}=\tau_{2}$, hence the use of pdIdent and not pdDiag in the specification of the matrix effects (i.e. the method by item interactions). The model above is fitted to the dataset by:

```
> m1 <- lme( y ~ meth + item,
+ random=list( item = pdIdent( ~ meth-1 ),
+ repl = ~ 1),
+ weights = varIdent( form = ~1 | meth ),
+ data = sbp )
> m1
```

Linear mixed-effects model fit by REML
Data: sbp
Log-restricted-likelihood: -1163.807
Fixed: y ~ meth + item
(Intercept) methR

| 103.47872449 | -0.08627451 | 5.82189382 | -22.17810618 | 1.89313629 | 13.45293925 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| item6 | item7 | item8 | item9 | item10 | item11 |
| 25.82189382 | 5.82189382 | 7.96437876 | 2.92875753 | -2.54706075 | 0.78627258 |




Figure 2.9: Bland-Altman plot of the sbp data. Replicates are linked between methods, so the single replicates in the data has been used as single measurements when doing the BlandAltman plot. Measurements from the same person are joined by thin lines. The only difference between the two plots is the scaling of the $y$-axis.
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Variance function:
    Structure: Different standard deviations per stratum
    Formula: ~1 | meth
    Parameter estimates:
        J R
1.000000 1.122211
Number of Observations: 510
Number of Groups:
        item repl %in% item
```

                                    item16
    15.29771210
item17
-2.10686371
15.29771210-2.10686371
item22
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { item22 } & \text { item23 } \\ 40.17810618 & 66.03562124\end{array}$
item23
66.03562124
item28 item29
$\begin{array}{rr}45.22646962 & 115.89313629 \\ \text { item34 } & \text { item35 }\end{array}$
$22.03562124 \quad 15.89313629$
item40 item41
$\begin{array}{rr}30.55980296 & -9.07124247 \\ \text { item46 } & \text { item47 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rr}30.55980296 & -9.07124247 \\ \text { item46 } & \text { item47 }\end{array}$
24.2620908611 .22646962
item52 item53
-1.44019704 1.15522715
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { item58 } & \text { item59 } \\ 75.45293925 & 52.92875753 \\ \text { item64 } & \text { item65 }\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{rr}\text { item58 } & \text { item59 } \\ 75.45293925 & 52.92875753 \\ \text { item64 } & \text { item65 }\end{array}$
item64 item65
$36.92875753 \quad 33.59542419$
item70 item71
17.52418172112 .63104543
item76 item77
$\begin{array}{rr}75.59542419 & 13.22646962 \\ \text { item82 } & \text { item83 }\end{array}$
4.786272586 .92875753
-2.10686371
item34

Now, the output from lme is pretty difficult to read, but the residual standard deviations are $\sigma_{J}=1.485870$ and $\sigma_{R}=1.485870 \times 1.122211=1.6674599$, whereas $\tau=0.2483701$ (largely negligible) and $\omega=5.932962$, by far the largest variance component. Also from the output we get the difference between methods R and J to be -0.08627451 .
An easier way to get the relevant estimates is to use the wrapper BA. est, where the only necessary specification is the dataset (assuming that columns meth, item, repl and y are present) and whether replicates are linked across methods:

```
> BA.est( sbp, linked=TRUE )
```

```
Conversion between methods:
                alpha beta sd.pred LoA-lo LoA-up
To: From:
J J 
    lllllll
R N J 
Variance components (sd):
    IxR MxI res
J 5.933 0.248 1.486
R 5.933 0.248 1.667
```

Which is identical to the quantities we fished out of the lme output. Actually BA.est fits exactly the model we fitted, and then extracts the quantities that we are interested in.

The limits of agreement between the two manual observers is then for $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{J}$ $-0.0863 \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{2 \times 0.248^{2}+1.486^{2}+1.667^{2}}=(-4.51,4.34)$, i.e. on average they agree, but in order to be sure to enclose $95 \%$ of all differences we need an interval approximately as $0 \pm 4.5 \mathrm{mmHg}$.

One way of seeing the lack of exchangeability is to make the overview plot using a random permuation of the replicates. If replicates were truely exchangeable within methods the plot would look similar when permuting the replicates - and it does not!

For completeness we reload the data to get observations by all three methods included, and then make overview plots after random permutation of replicates within (method,item):

```
> data(sbp)
> sbp <- Meth( sbp )
```

The following variables from the dataframe
"sbp" are used as the Meth variables:
meth: meth
item: item
repl: repl
y: y
\#Replicates

| Method | 3 | \#Items | \#Obs: 765 Values: | min med max |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| J | 85 | 85 | 255 | 74 | 120 | 228 |
| R | 85 | 85 | 255 | 76 | 120 | 226 |
| S | 85 | 85 | 255 | 77 | 135 | 228 |

$>\operatorname{str}(s b p)$
Classes 'Meth' and 'data.frame': 765 obs. of 4 variables:
\$ meth: Factor w/ 3 levels $" J ", " R ", " S ": 1111111111$.
\$ item: Factor w/ 85 levels "1", "2", "3", "4",..: $122345678910 \ldots$
\$ repl: Factor w/ 3 levels "1", "2","3": 11111111111.

> plot( perm.repl(sbp) )

The two resulting plots are shown in figure 2.10.
The analysis should be based on a model where a random item by replicate effect is included to accomodate the linking of replicates:

```
> BA.est( sbp, linked=TRUE )
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{To: From:}} & \multirow[t]{2}{*}{\begin{tabular}{l}
between \\
alpha
\end{tabular}} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{beta sd.pred} & LoA-lo & LoA-up \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{J} & J & 0.000 & 1.000 & 2.305 & -4.610 & 4.610 \\
\hline & R & 0.086 & 1.000 & 2.272 & -4.459 & 4.631 \\
\hline & S & -15.620 & 1.000 & 20.326 & -56.272 & 25.032 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{R} & J & -0.086 & 1.000 & 2.272 & -4.631 & 4.459 \\
\hline & R & 0.000 & 1.000 & 2.187 & -4.375 & 4.375 \\
\hline & S & -15.706 & 1.000 & 20.317 & -56.339 & 24.927 \\
\hline \multirow[t]{3}{*}{S} & J & 15.620 & 1.000 & 20.326 & -25.032 & 56.272 \\
\hline & R & 15.706 & 1.000 & 20.317 & -24.927 & 56.339 \\
\hline & S & 0.000 & 1.000 & 12.930 & -25.860 & 25.860 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
Variance components (sd):
IxR MxI res
J 5.887 0.338 1.630
R 5.887 0.001 1.547
S 5.887 18.077 9.143
```

The substantial item by replicate interaction (IR) clearly indicates that replicates are linked between methods.


Figure 2.10: Graphical overview of the sbp data; the left panel with the original replicate numbers used for matching; the other with replicates permuted randomly within methods.

The resulting estimates from this model gives limits of agreement for $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{J}$ based on the method by item and the residual variances:
$-0.0863 \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{0.3385^{2}+0.0011^{2}+1.6301^{2}+1.5467^{2}}=-0.0863 \pm 4.4540=(-4.54,4.37)$
which is in agreement with the limits computed based on the simplistic way of taking replicates as items - a procedure wich is actually close to correct if replicates are linked.

Alternatively this could be formulated as a $95 \%$ prediction interval for R given a measurement by $\mathrm{J}, y_{\mathrm{J}}$, which would be

$$
y_{\mathrm{R}} \mid y_{\mathrm{J}}=y_{\mathrm{J}}-0.0863 \pm 4.4540=y_{\mathrm{J}}+(-4.54 ; 4.37)
$$

The above analysis is based on the correct analysis of the entire dataset, including the information from the machine measurement $S$. If we fit the model on the restricted dataset, we of course get a common method by item interaction term because we then only have two methods:

```
> BA.est( subset( sbp, meth!="S" ), linked=TRUE )
Conversion between methods:
    alpha beta sd.pred LoA-lo LoA-up
To: From:
\begin{tabular}{llrllll} 
J & J & 0.000 & 1.000 & 2.101 & -4.203 & 4.203 \\
& R & 0.086 & 1.000 & 2.261 & -4.435 & 4.608 \\
R & J & -0.086 & 1.000 & 2.261 & -4.608 & 4.435 \\
& R & 0.000 & 1.000 & 2.358 & -4.716 & 4.716
\end{tabular}
Variance components (sd):
    IxR MxI res
J 5.933 0.248 1.486
R 5.933 0.248 1.667
```

Based on these estimates we get the limits of agreement for $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{J}$ to be:

$$
-0.0863 \pm 1.96 \times \sqrt{2 \times 0.2484^{2}+1.4859^{2}+1.6674^{2}}=0.0863 \pm 4.4313=(-4.52,4.35)
$$

i.e. effectively the same as before, based on all three methods. Again these limits are those computed by BA.est.
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> AB.plot Bland-Altman plot of differences versus averages.

## Description

For two vectors of equal length representing measurements of the same quantity by two different methods, the differences are plotted versus the average. The limits of agreement (prediction limits for the differences) are plotted, optionally a regression of differences of means is given too. Wirks with Meth objects and MethComp objects too.

## Usage

```
AB.plot( y1, y2, meth.names = NULL,
            wh.comp = 1:2,
            pl.type = "BA",
        dif.type = "const",
            sd.type = "const",
            model = if( inherits(y1,"Meth") & has.repl(y1) ) "exch"
                                    else NULL,
                eqax = FALSE,
                axlim = if( is.data.frame(y1) ) range(y1$y) else range(c(y1,y2)),
            diflim = NULL,
                grid = TRUE,
            N.grid = 10,
        col.grid = grey(0.9),
            points = TRUE,
col.points = "black",
cex.points = 1,
pch.points = 16,
            lwd = c(3,1,1),
    col.lines = "blue",
    repl.conn = FALSE,
        col.conn = "gray",
        lwd.conn = 1,
                xlab = NULL,
                ylab = NULL,
                    eqn = FALSE,
        col.eqn = col.lines,
    font.eqn = 2,
            digits = 2,
    Transform = NULL,
            alpha = NULL,
                ...)
```


## Arguments

y1 Numerical vector of measurements by 1st method. Can also be a Meth or a MethComp object, see details.
y2 Numerical vector of measurements by 2nd method. Must of same length as x. Ignored if a Meth or a MethComp objects is gievn for x.
meth.names Label for the method names.
wh.comp Which methods should be compared. Either numerical or character.
pl.type What type of plot shoudl be made, "BA" for differences versus avearages, "conv" for method 1 versus method 2.

| dif.type | How should difference depend on the averages. "const" or "lin". |
| :---: | :---: |
| sd.type | How should the standard deviation depend on the averages. "const" or "lin". |
| model | Should a variance component model be used to compute the limits of agreement? If NULL a simple analysis is mde; other possibilities are "exch" or "linked" for exchangeable or linked replicates. |
| eqax | Should the axes be identical? If a Bland-Altman plot is drawn, the axis for the differences wil have the same extent af the axis for the averages, but centered on 0 (see diflim). |
| axlim | The limits of the axes. |
| diflim | The limits of the difference axis. |
| grid | Should a grid be drawn? If numeric it indicates the plces where the grid is drawn. |
| N.grid | How many gridlines should be drawn. |
| col.grid | What should be the color of the grid? |
| points | Logical. Should the observed points be drawn? |
| col.points | What color shoudl they have? |
| cex.points | How large should they be? |
| pch.points | What plot chahracter for the points |
| lwd | Numerical vector of 3 , giving the witdh of the conversion line (mean difference) and the limits of agreement. |
| col.lines | What color should the lines have. |
| repl.conn | Should replicate measirements be connected (within items)? |
| col.conn | Color of connecting lines. |
| lwd.conn | Width of connecting lines. |
| $x \mathrm{lab}$ | x -axis label. |
| ylab | y-axis label. |
| eqn | Logical. Should the equations linking the metods be shown on the plot? If a Bland-Altman plot is made, both the eqautions linking the methods and the equation for the differences versus the averages are shown. |
| col.eqn | Color for equations |
| font.eqn | Font for eqautions |
| digits | How many digits after the decimal point should be used when showing the eqatuions. |
| Transform | Transformation applied to data prior to analysis. Plots are made on the original scale after bac-transformation. |
| alpha | 1 minus the confidence level. If NULL a multiplier of 2 is used for constructing prediction limits, otherwise a t-quantile with df equal th number of items minus 1. |
|  | Further parameters passed on to plot.MethComp |

## Details

A plot of the relationship betwwen the methods is produced; either a Bland-Altman plot of the differences versus averages, or a 45 degree rotation as a conversion between the methods. If model=NULL a simple regression of averages on differences is made by calling DA.reg, and the specified conversion plotted.

## Value

An object of class MethComp and either DA.reg (if model=NULL) or BA.est (if model is character).

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen [bxc@steno.dk](mailto:bxc@steno.dk), http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~${ }^{\text {bxc. }}$

## References

JM Bland and DG Altman: Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement, Lancet, i, 1986, pp. 307-310.
JM Bland and DG Altman. Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 8:136-160, 1999.
B Carstensen: Comparing methods of measurement: Extending the LoA by regression. Stat Med. 2010 Feb 10;29(3):401-10.

See Also
BA.est, DA.reg, MCmcmc.

## Examples

```
data( ox )
ox <- Meth( ox )
# The siplest possibe Bland-Altman plot
AB.plot( ox )
## With bellse and whistles, comparing the naive and meodel
par( mfrow=c(1,2) )
AB.plot( ox, model=NULL, repl.conn=TRUE, col.lines="blue",
            axlim=c(0,100), diflim=c(-50,50), xaxs="i", yaxs="i",
            las=1, eqn=TRUE, dif.type="lin", pl.type="BA", sd.type="lin",
    grid=1:9*10, digits=3,font.eqn=1,Transform="pctlogit")
par(new=TRUE)
AB.plot( ox, model="linked", repl.conn=TRUE, col.lines="red",
            axlim=c(0,100), diflim=c(-50,50), xaxs="i", yaxs="i",
        las=1, eqn=FALSE, dif.type="lin", pl.type="BA", sd.type="lin",
    grid=1:0*10, digits=3,Transform="pctlogit")
AB.plot( ox, model=NULL, repl.conn=TRUE, col.lines="blue",
                axlim=c(0,100), diflim=c(-50,50), xaxs="i", yaxs="i",
        las=1, eqn=TRUE, dif.type="lin", pl.type="conv", sd.type="lin",
        grid=1:9*10, digits=3,font.eqn=1,Transform="pctlogit")
par(new=TRUE)
AB.plot( ox, model="linked", repl.conn=TRUE, col.lines="red",
```

```
axlim=c(0,100), diflim=c(-50,50), xaxs="i", yaxs="i",
las=1, eqn=FALSE, dif.type="lin", pl.type="conv", sd.type="lin",
grid=1:9*10, digits=3,Transform="pctlogit")
```

abconv $\quad$ Derive linear conversion coefficients from a set of indeterminate coef-
ficients

## Description

If a method comparison model is defined as $y_{m i}=\alpha_{m}+\beta_{m} \mu_{i}, m=1,2$ y_mi $=$ alpha_m + beta_m*mu_i, $\mathrm{m}=1,2$ the coefficients of the linear conversion from method 1 to 2 are computed as: $\alpha_{2 \mid 1}=-\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{1} \beta_{2} / \beta_{1}$ alpha_(2|1) =-alpha_2-alpha_1*beta_2/beta_1 $\beta_{2 \mid 1}=\beta_{2} / \beta_{1}$ Morover the the point where the linear conversion function intersects the identity line is computed too.. The function is designed to work on numerical vectors of posterior samples from BUGS output.

## Usage

```
abconv( a1, b1 = 1:4, a2 = NULL, b2 = NULL,
            col.names = c("alpha.2.1", "beta.2.1", "id.2.1") )
```


## Arguments

a1 Numerical vector of intercepts for first method. Alternatively a dataframe where the vectors are selected from.
b1 Numerical vector of slopes for first method. If a1 is a dataframe, b1 is assumed to be a numerical vector of length 4 pointing to the columns of a1 with the intercepts and slopes.
a2 Numerical vector of intercepts for second method.
b2 Numerical vector of slopes for second method.
col.names Names for the resulting three vectors.

## Value

A dataframe with three columns: intercept and slope for the conversion from method 1 to method 2 , and the value where the conversion is the identity.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc

## References

B Carstensen: Comparing and predicting between several methods of measurement, Biostatistics, 5, pp 399-413, 2004

## See Also

```
BA.plot, MCmcmc
```


## Examples

```
abconv( 0.3, 0.9, 0.8, 0.8)
```

```
AltReg Estimate in a method comparison model with replicates
```


## Description

Estimates in the general model for method comparison studies with replicate measurements by each method, allowing for a linear relationship between methods, using the method of alternating regressions.

## Usage

```
AltReg( data,
    linked = FALSE,
                IxR = linked,
                MxI = TRUE,
            varMxI = FALSE,
                eps = 0.001,
    maxiter = 50,
            trace = FALSE,
            sd.lim = 0.01,
Transform = NULL,
trans.tol = 1e-6 )
```


## Arguments

data Data frame with the data in long format, (or a Meth object) i.e. it must have columns meth, item, repl and y
linked Logical. Are the replicates linked across methods? If true, a random item by repl is included in the model, otherwise not.

IxR Logical, alias for linked.
MxI Logical, should the method by item effect (matrix effect) be in the model?
varMxI Logical, should the method by item effect have method-specific variances. Ignored if only two methods are compared. See details.
eps Convergence criterion, the test is the max of the relative change since last iteration in both mean and variance parameters.
maxiter Maximal number of iterations.

```
trace
sd.lim Estimated standard deviations below sd.lim are disregarded in the
    evaluation of convergence. See details.
Transform A character string, or a list of two functions, each other's inverse. The
    measurements are transformed by this before analysis. Possibilities are:
    "exp", "log", "logit", "pctlogit" (transforms percentages by the logit), "sqrt",
    "sq" (square), "cll" (complementary log-minus-log), "ll" (log-minus-log). For
    further details see choose.trans.
trans.tol The tolerance used to check whether the supplied transformation and its
        inverse combine to the identity. Only used if Transform is a list of two
        functions.
```


## Details

When fitting a model with both IxR and MxI interactions it may become very unstable to have different variances of the MxI random effects for each method, and hence the default option is to have a constant MxI variance across methods. On the other hand it may be grossly inadequate to assume these variances to be identical.
If only two methods are compared, it is not possible to separate different variances of the MxI effect, and hence the varMxI is ignored in this case.
The model fitted is formulated as:

$$
y_{m i r}=\alpha_{m}+\beta_{m}\left(\mu_{i}+a_{i r}+c_{m i}\right)+e_{m i r}
$$

and the relevant parameters to report are the estimates sds of $a_{i r}$ and $c_{m i}$ multiplied with the corresonidng $\beta_{m}$. Therefore, different values of the variances for MxI and IxR are reported also when $\operatorname{varMxI==FALSE}$. Note that $\operatorname{varMxI==FALSE}$ is the default and that this is the opposite of the default in BA.est.

## Value

An object of class c("MethComp", "AltReg"), which is a list with three elements:

| Conv | A 3-way array with the 2 first dimensions named "To:" and "From:", with <br> methods as levels. The third dimension is classifed by the linear parameters <br> "alpha", "beta", and "sd". |
| :--- | :--- |
| VarComp | A matrix with methods as rows and variance components as columns. Entries <br> are the estimated standard deviations. |
| data | The original data used in the analysis, with untransformed measurements <br> (ys). This is needed for plotting purposes. |

Moreover, if a transformation was applied before analysis, an attribute "Transform" is present; a list with two elements trans and inv, both of which are functions, the first the transform, the last the inverse.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, [bxc@steno.dk](mailto:bxc@steno.dk), http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc.

## References

B Carstensen: Comparing and predicting between several methods of measurement. Biostatistics (2004), 5, 3, pp. 399-413.

## See Also

```
BA.est, DA.reg, Meth.sim, MethComp
```


## Examples

```
data( ox )
ox <- Meth( ox )
ox.AR <- AltReg( ox, linked=TRUE, trace=TRUE, Transform="pctlogit" )
str( ox.AR )
ox.AR
# plot the resulting conversion between methods
plot(ox.AR,pl.type="conv", axlim=c(20,100), points=TRUE,xaxs="i",yaxs="i",pch=16)
# - or the rotated plot
plot(ox.AR,pl.type="BA",axlim=c(20,100),points=TRUE,xaxs="i",yaxs="i",pch=16)
```

```
Ancona
Data from a rating experiment of recorgnizing point counts.
```


## Description

At the course "Statsitical Analysis of Method Comparison Studies" ai the SISMEC conference in Ancona, on 28 September 2011, the participants on the course were used as raters of ten pictures of points. Pitures were shown 3 times each to the participants, and they assessed the number of points in each.

## Usage

data(Ancona)

## Format

A data frame with 510 observations on the following 4 variables.
rater a factor with 17 levels
item a numeric vector indicating the pictures shown. The value is the actual number of points.
repl a numeric vector, replicate number
score a numeric vector, the number of points in item

## Source

The course "Statsitical Analysis of Method Comparison Studies" ai the SISMEC conference in Ancona, on 28 September 2011.

## Examples

```
library( MethComp )
data( Ancona )
Anc <- Meth( Ancona, 1, 2, 3, 4 )
```

BA.est Bias and variance components for a Bland-Altman plot.

## Description

A variance component model is fitted to method comparison data with replicate measurements in each method by item stratum. The purpose is to simplify the construction of a correct Bland-Altman-plot when replicate measurements are available, and to give the REML-estimates of the relevant variance components.

## Usage

```
    BA.est( data, linked=TRUE, IxR=has.repl(data),
                    MxI=has.repl(data),
                    varMxI=TRUE,
                IxR.pr=FALSE,
                bias=TRUE, alpha=0.05,
            Transform = NULL,
                trans.tol = 1e-6,
                random.raters = FALSE,
                lmecontrol = lmeControl(msMaxIter=300),
                weightfunction = c("mean", "median")
    )
    ## S3 method for class 'BA.est'
bias( obj, ref=1, ... )
            VC.est( data,
                IxR = has.repl(data), linked = IxR,
                MxI = has.repl(data), matrix = MxI,
            varMxI = TRUE,
                    bias = TRUE,
            print = FALSE,
    random.raters = FALSE,
        lmecontrol = lmeControl(msMaxIter=300)
    )
```


## Arguments

data A Meth object representing method comparison data with replicate measurements, i.e. with columns meth, item, repl and y.
linked Logical. Are replicates linked within item across methods?
IxR Logical. Should an item by repl interaction be included in the model. This is needed when the replicates are linked within item across methods, so it is just another name for the linked argument. If linked= is given, this is ignored.

MxI Logical. Should the method by item interaction (matrix effect) be included in the model.
matrix Logical. Alias for MxI.
$\operatorname{varMxI} \quad$ Logical. Should the method by item interaction have a variance that varies between methods. Ignored if only two methods are compared.

IxR.pr Logical. Should the item by repl interaction variation be included in the prediction standard deviation?
bias Logical. Should a systematic bias between methods be estimated? If FALSE no bias between methods are assumed, i.e. $\alpha_{m}=0, m=1, \ldots M$.
alpha $\quad$ Numerical. Significance level. By default the value 2 is used when computing prediction intervals, otherwise the $1-\alpha / 2 \mathrm{t}$-quantile is used. The number of d.f. is taken as the number of units minus the number of items minus the number of methods minus $1(I-M-1)$.

Transform Transformation applied to data (y) before analysis. See check.trans for possible values.
trans.tol Numerical. The tolerance used to check whether the supplied transformation and its inverse combine to the identity.
random.raters
Logical. Should methods/raters be considered as random. Defaults to FALSE which corresponds to a fixed effect of methods/raters.
lmecontrol A list of control parameters passed on to lme.
weightfunction
Function to weigh variance components for random raters. Defaults to mean but can also be median.
obj A BA.est object from which to extract the biases between methods.
ref Numeric or character. The reference method for the biases: the method with bias 0 .
print Logical. Should the estimated bias and variance components be printed? Further arguments passed on. Currently ignored.

## Details

The model fitted is:

$$
y=\alpha_{m}+\mu_{i}+c_{m i}+a_{i r}+e_{m i r}, \quad \operatorname{var}\left(c_{m i}\right)=\tau_{m}^{2}, \quad \operatorname{var}\left(a_{i r}\right)=\omega^{2}, \quad \operatorname{var}\left(e_{m i r}\right)=\sigma_{m}^{2}
$$

We can only fit separate variances for the $\tau s$ if more than two methods are compared (i.e. nM $>2$ ), hence varMxI is ignored when $n M==2$.
The function VC.est is the workhorse; BA.est just calls it. VC.est figures out which model to fit by lme, extracts results and returns estimates. VC.est is also used as part of the fitting algorithm in AltReg, where each iteration step requires fit of this model. The function VC.est is actually just a wrapper for the functions VC.est.fixed that handles the case with fixed methods (usually 2 or three) i.e. the classical method comparison problem, and VC.est.random that handles the situation where "methods" are merely a random sample of raters from some population of raters; and therefore are regarded as random.

## Value

BA.est returns an object of class c("MethComp", "BA.est"), a list with four elements Conv, VarComp, LoA, RepCoef; VC.est returns (invisibly!) a list with elements Bias, VarComp, Mu, RanEff. These list components are:

Conv 3-dimensional array with dimensions "To", "From" and unnamed. The first two dimensions have the methods compared as levels, the last one c("alpha", "beta", "sd.pred", "LoA: lower", "upper"). It represents the mean conversions between methods and the prediction standard deviation. Where "To" and "From" take the same value the value of the "sd" component is $\sqrt{2}$ times the residual variation for the method. If IxR.pr=TRUE the variation between replicates are included too, i.e. $\sqrt{2\left(\sigma_{m}^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)}$ sqrt[2(sigma_m^2+omega^2)].
VarComp A matrix of variance components (on the SD scale) with methods as rows and variance components "IxR", "MxI" and "res" as columns.
LoA Four-column matrix with mean difference, lower and upper limit of agreement and prediction SD. Each row in the matrix represents a pair of methods.
RepCoef Two-column matrix of repeatability SDs and repeatability coefficients. The SDs are the standard deviation of the difference between two measurements by the same method on the item under identical circumstances; the repeatability coefficient the numerical extent of the prediction interval for this difference, i.e. $2 \sqrt{2}$ times the sd.
Mu Estimates of the item-specific parameters.
RanEff Estimates of the random effects from the model (BLUPS). This is a (possibly empty) list with possible elements named MxI and IxR according to whether these random effects are in the model.

The returned object has an attribute, Transform with the transformation applied to data before analysis, and its inverse - see choose.trans.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen

## References

Carstensen, Simpson \& Gurrin: Statistical models for assessing agreement in method comparison studies with replicate measurements, The International Journal of Biostatistics: Vol. 4 : Iss. 1, Article 16. http://www.bepress.com/ijb/vol4/iss1/16.

## See Also

BA.plot, perm.repl

## Examples

```
data( ox )
ox <- Meth( ox )
summary( ox )
BA.est( ox )
BA.est( ox, linked=FALSE )
BA.est( ox, linked=TRUE, Transform="pctlogit" )
data( sbp )
BA.est( sbp )
BA.est( sbp, linked=FALSE )
# Check what you get from VC.est
str( VC.est( sbp ) )
```

BlandAltman Bland-Altman plot of differences versus averages.

## Description

For two vectors of equal length representing measurements of the same quantity by two different methods, the differences are plotted versus the average. The limits of agreement (prediction limits for the differences) are plotted, optionally a regression of differences of means is given too.

## Usage

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { BlandAltman }(\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}, & \\
\mathrm{x} . \text { name } & =\mathrm{NULL}, \\
\mathrm{y} \cdot \text { name } & =\mathrm{NULL}, \\
\text { maintit } & =" \mathrm{l}, \\
\mathrm{cex} & =1, \\
\mathrm{pch} & =16, \\
\text { col.points } & =\text { "black" }, \\
\text { col.lines } & =\text { "blue", }
\end{aligned}
$$

```
                    limx = NULL,
                    limy = NULL,
                    ymax = NULL,
                    eqax = FALSE,
                    xlab = NULL,
                    ylab = NULL,
                print = TRUE,
        reg.line = FALSE,
            digits = 2,
                mult = FALSE,
                alpha,
                    ... )
BA.plot( y1, y2,
    meth.names = NULL,
    mean.repl = FALSE,
    conn.repl = !mean.repl,
        lwd.conn = 1,
        col.conn = "black",
    comp.levels = 2:1,
                    ... )
```


## Arguments

X
y
x.name
$y$.name Label for the 2nd method (y).
maintit Main title for the plot
cex Character expansion for the points.
pch Plot symbol for points.
col.points Color for the points.
col.lines Color for the lines indicating limits of agreement.
limx $\quad x$-axis limits.
limy $\quad y$-axis limits.
ymax $\quad$ Scalar. The y-axis will extend from -ymax to $+y m a x$.
eqax Logical. Should the range on $x$ - and $y$ - axes be the same?
$x l a b \quad x$-axis label.
ylab $\quad y$-axis label.
print Logical: Should the limits of agreement and the c.i.s of these be printed?
reg.line If TRUE, the regression line of $x-y$ on $(x+y) / 2$ is drawn. If numerical the regression equation is printed with the given number of digits after the decimal points.

| digits | How many decimal places should be used when printing limits of agreement? Used both for the printing of results and for annotation of the plot. |
| :---: | :---: |
| mult | Logical. Should data be log-transformed and reporting be on a multiplicative scale? |
| alpha | 1 minus confidence level used when computing confidence intervals and limits of agreement, i.e. the $\mathrm{t}(1$-alpha/2) quantile is used. If not supplied the standard value of 2 is used for computing LoA. |
| y1 | Measurements by method 1. Alternatively a Meth object or a dataframe with columns meth, item, y , and possibly repl. |
| y2 | Corresponding measurements by method 2. Ignored if y 1 is a dataframe. |
| meth.names | Names for the two methods. Used for annotation of the plot. If not supplied and y 1 is a dataframe names are derived from the factor level names of meth. |
| mean.repl | Logical. If there are replicate measurements by each method should the means by item and meth be formed before further ado. WARNING: This will give too narrow limits of agreement. |
| conn.repl | Logical. Should replicates from the same item be connected? |
| lwd.conn | Line width of connecting lines |
| col.conn | Color of connecting lines |
| comp.levels | Levels of the meth factor to compare. May be used to switch the order of the methods compared by specifying comp.meth=2:1. |
|  | Further arguments passed on from BA.plot to BlandAltman and possibly further to the plot function. The arguments passed to BlandAltman are used for fine-tuning the appearance of the plot. |

## Value

An object of class BA.check; list with 3 elements:

| LoA | A vector of length 3 with Limits of Agreement. |
| :--- | :--- |
| p.value | P-values for three hypothese: 1) Constant variance - this is the test of 0 slope <br> in the regression of absolute residuals on averages. 2) Constant difference - <br> this is the test of 0 slop in the regression of differences on averages. 3) |
| reg.res | Difference equal to $0-$ this is usually a lame thing to use. <br> A $3 \times 4$ matrix with (in the first row) the results from regressing the averages <br> on the means, and in the two other rows the derived relationships between <br> methods. In each line the intercept (alpha), slope (beta), the prediction <br> standard deviation (pr.sd) and half the width of the prdiction interval <br> (pr.int). |

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen [bxc@steno.dk](mailto:bxc@steno.dk), http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc.

## References

JM Bland and DG Altman: Statistical methods for assessing agreement between two methods of clinical measurement, Lancet, i, 1986, pp. 307-310.

JM Bland and DG Altman. Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 8:136-160, 1999.

B Carstensen: Comparing methods of measurement: Extending the LoA by regression. Stat Med. 2010 Feb 10;29(3):401-10.

## See Also

BA.plot, MCmcmc.

## Examples

```
data( ox )
par( mfrow=c(1,2) )
# Wrong to use mean over replicates
mtab <- with( ox, tapply( y, list(item, meth), mean ) )
CO <- mtab[,"CO"]
pulse <- mtab[,"pulse"]
BlandAltman( CO, pulse )
# (almost) Right to use replicates singly
par( mfrow=c(1,1) )
oxw <- to.wide( ox )
CO <- oxw[,"CD"]
pulse <- oxw[,"pulse"]
BlandAltman( CO, pulse, mult=TRUE )
BlandAltman( CO, pulse, eqax=TRUE )
data( plvol )
BA.plot( plvol )
BA.plot( plvol, reg.line=TRUE )
BA.plot( plvol, reg.line=2 )
```

bothlines Add regression lines to a plot

## Description

Add the regression lines of $y$ on $x$ AND $x$ on $y$ to the plot. Optionally add the line obtained by allowing errors in both variables (Deming regression).

## Usage

```
bothlines(x, y, Dem = FALSE, sdr = 1, col = "black", ...)
```


## Arguments

X
y
Dem
sdr $\quad$ Numeric. The assumed ratio of standard deviations used in the Deming regression.
col Colour of the lines. Can be a vector of up to 3 elements, one for each line.
... Additional arguments passed on to abline, which does the actual plotting.

## Value

None.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~ bxc

## See Also

```
abline.
```


## Examples

```
data( ox )
oxw <- to.wide(ox)
attach( oxw )
plot( CO, pulse )
abline(0,1)
bothlines( CO, pulse, Dem=TRUE, col=rainbow(3), lwd=2 )
plot( CO, pulse,pch=16 )
abline(0,1, col=gray(0.7), lwd=2)
bothlines( CO, pulse, Dem=TRUE, col=c(rep("transparent",2),"black"), lwd=2 )
```

cardiac
Measurement of cardiac output by two different methods.

## Description

For each subject cardiac output is measured repeatedly (three to six times) by impedance cardiography (IC) and radionuclide ventriculography (RV).

## Usage

```
        data(cardiac)
```


## Format

A data frame with 120 observations on the following 4 variables.
meth a factor with levels IC RV
item a numeric vector giving the item number.
repl a numeric vector with replicate number.
$y$ the measuremnts of cardiac output.

## Details

It is not entirely clear from the source whether the replicates are exchangeable within (method,item) or whether they represent pairs of measurements. From the description it looks as if replicates are linked between methods, but in the paper they are treated as if they were not.

## Source

The dataset is adapted from table 4 in: JM Bland and DG Altman: Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 8:136-160, 1999. Originally supplied to Bland <br>\& Altman by Dr LS Bowling, see: Bowling LS, Sageman WS, O'Connor SM, Cole R, Amundson DE. Lack of agreement between measurement of ejection fraction by impedance cardiography versus radionuclide ventriculography. Critical Care Medicine 1993; 21: 1523-27.

## Examples

```
data(cardiac)
cardiac <- Meth(cardiac)
summary(cardiac)
# Visually check exchangeability
plot( cardiac )
plot( perm.repl( cardiac ) )
BA.est(cardiac)
# Run MCmcmc using BRugs for an insufficient amount of iterations
## Not run: card.mi.ir <- MCmcmc( cardiac,
                        beta=FALSE, random=c("mi","ir"),
                        n.iter=100, trace=T )
print( card.mi.ir )
## End(Not run)
```

CardOutput Measurements of Cardiac output.

## Description

Two different ways of measuring cardiac output and oxygen saturation in 15 critically ill persons.

## Usage

```
data(CardOutput)
```


## Format

A data frame with 15 observations on the following 8 variables.
Age Patient age
Diag Diagnosis, a factor with levels sepsis, cardiogenic, hypothermia
VO2 Oxygen consumption
Svo2 Mixed venous O2 saturation
Scvo2 Central venous oxygen saturation
TCO Thermodilution-derived cardiac output
FCO Fick-derived cardiac output.
Sex Sex, a factor with levels F, M

## Source

Avi A. Weinbroum, Philippe Biderman, Dror Soffer, Joseph M. Klausner \& Oded Szold: Reliability of cardiac output calculation by the fick principle and central venous oxygen saturation in emergency conditions.

Journal of Clinical Monitoring and Computing (2008) 22: 361-366

## Examples

data(CardOutput)
check. MCmcmc
Functions to graphically assess the convergence of the MCMCsimulation in a MCmcmc object

## Description

These functions display traces, posterior densities and autocorrelation functions for the relevant subset of the parameters in a MCmcmc object.

## Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'MCmcmc'
trace( obj, what = "sd",
scales = c("same", "free"),
layout = "col",
aspect = "fill", ...)
```

\#\# S3 method for class 'MCmcmc'
post( obj, what ="sd",
check = TRUE,
scales = "same",
layout = "row",
lwd = 2,
col,
plot. points = FALSE,
aspect = "fill", ... )
\#\# S3 method for class 'MCmcmc'
pairs( x, what = "sd",
subset,
col $=$ NULL,
pch $=16$,
cex $=0.2$,
scales = "free", ... )

## Arguments

obj A MCmcmc object.
$\mathrm{x} \quad \mathrm{A}$ MCmcmc object.
what Character indicating what parameters to plot. Possible values are "sd" or "var" which gives plots for the variance components (on the sd. scale), "beta" or "slope", which gives plots for slope parameters and "alpha" or "int", which gives plots for the intercept parameters.
scales Character vector of length two, with possible values "same" or "free", indicating whether $x$ - and $y$-axes of the plots should be constrained to be the same across panels. For pairs only the first element is used to decide whether all panles should have the same axes.
layout Character. If "col" parameters are displayed columnwise by method, if "row" they are displayed row-wise.
aspect How should the panels be scaled. Default ("fill") is to make a panels take up as much place as possible.

| check | Logical. Should the density plots be separate for each chain (in order to check <br> convergence) or should the chains be merged. |
| :--- | :--- |
| lwd | Width of the lines used for plotting of the posterior densities. |
| col | Color of the lines points used for plotting of the posterior densities. |
| plot.points | Logical. Should a rug with actual data points be plotted beneath the density. |
| pch | Plot symbol for the points. |
| subset | Character or numerical indicating the columns of the posterior that should be <br> plotted by pairs. |
| cex | Plot character size for points in pairs. |
| $\ldots$ | Further aruments passed on to the Lattice function called: trace calls <br> xyplot from the coda package, post calls densityplot from the coda <br> package, <br> pairs calls pairs from the graphics package. |

## Details

A Lattice plot is returned, which means that it must printed when these functions are called in a batch program or inside another function or for-loop.
trace plots traces of the sampled chains, post plots posterior densities of the parameters and pairs plots a scatter-plot matrix of bivariate marginal posterior distributions.

## Value

A Lattice plot.

## Author (s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, [bxc@steno.dk](mailto:bxc@steno.dk), http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc.

## See Also

MCmcmc, plot.MCmcmc, ox.MC, sbp.MC

## Examples

```
# Load a provided MCmcmc object
data( ox.MC )
trace.MCmcmc( ox.MC, what="beta" )
pairs.MCmcmc( ox.MC, what="sd" )
```

choose.trans Functions to handle transformations of measuremnt results.

## Description

Choose a function and inverse based on a text string; check whether two functions actually are each others inverse.

## Usage

choose.trans ( tr )
check.trans( trans, y, trans.tol = 1e-05 )

## Arguments

```
\(\operatorname{tr} \quad\) A character string, or a list of two functions, they should be each other's inverse. Names of the list are ignored.
trans A list of two functions, each other's inverse.
y Vector of numerical values where the functions should be each other's inverse.
trans.tol Numerical constant indication how precise the evaulation should be.
```


## Value

choose.trans returns a named list with two elements "trans" and "inv", both functions which are each other's inverse. This is intended to be stored as an attribute "Transform" with the resulting object and used in plotting and reporting. All results will be on the transformed scale. If the tr argument to choose.trans is a character constant, the appropriate named list of two functions will be generated. Possibilities are: "exp", "log", "logit", "pctlogit" (transforms percentages by the logit), "sqrt", "sq" (square), "cll" (complementary log-minus-log), "ll" (log-minus-log). If there is no match NULL is returned, which will correspond to no transformation.
check.trans returns nothing.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc.

## Examples

```
choose.trans( "logit" )
```

```
corr.measures Correlation measures for method comparison studies. Please don't use
    them!
```


## Description

Computes correlation, mean squared difference, concordance correlation coefficient and the association coefficient. middle and ends are useful utilities for illustrating the shortcomings of the association measures, see the example.

## Usage

```
    corr.measures(x, y)
    middle(w, rm = 1/3)
        ends(w, rm = 1/3)
```


## Arguments

X
$\mathrm{y} \quad$ vector of measurements by another method.
w numerical vector.
rm fraction of data to remove.

## Details

These measures are all flawed since they are based on the correlation in various guises. They fail to address the relevant problem of AGREEMENT. It is recommended NOT to use them. The example gives an example, illustrating what happens when increasingly large chunks of data in the middle are removed.

## Value

corr.measures return a vector with 4 elements. middle and ends return a logical vector pointing to the middle or the ends of the w after removing a fraction of rm from data.

Author(s)<br>Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc

## References

Shortly...

## See Also

MCmcmc.

## Examples

```
cbind( zz <- 1:15, middle(zz), ends(zz) )
data( sbp )
bp <- subset( sbp, repl==1 & meth!="J" )
bp <- Meth( bp )
summary( bp )
plot( bp )
bw <- to.wide( bp )
with( bw, corr.measures( R, S ) )
# See how it gets better with less and less data:
summ.corr <-
rbind(
with( subset( bw, middle( R+S, 0.6 ) ), corr.measures( R, S ) ),
with( subset( bw, middle( R+S, 0.4 ) ), corr.measures( R, S ) ),
with( bw , corr.measures( R, S ) ),
with( subset( bw, ends( R+S, 0.3 ) ), corr.measures( R, S ) ),
with( subset( bw, ends( R+S, 0.4 ) ), corr.measures( R, S ) ),
with( subset( bw, ends( R+S, 0.6 ) ), corr.measures( R, S ) ),
with( subset( bw, ends( R+S, 0.8 ) ), corr.measures( R, S ) ) )
rownames( summ.corr ) <- c("middle 40%",
                                    "middle 60%",
                                    "total",
                                    "outer 70%",
                                    "outer 60%",
                                    "outer 40%",
                                    "outer 20%")
```

summ. corr
DA.reg Make a regression of differences on averages

## Description

For each pair of methods in data, a regression of the differences on the averages between methods is made and a linear relationship between methods with prediction standard deviations is derived.

## Usage

```
    DA.reg(data,
        Transform = NULL,
        trans.tol = 1e-6,
        print = TRUE,
random.raters = FALSE,
        DA.slope = TRUE )
    DA2y( a=0, b=0, s=NA )
```

```
y2DA( A=0, B=1, S=NA )
```


## Arguments

data A Meth object. May also be a data frame with columns meth, item and y.
Transform A character string, or a list of two functions, each other's inverse. The measurements are transformed by this before analysis. Possibilities are: "exp", "log", "logit", "pctlogit" (transforms percentages by the logit), "sqrt", "sq" (square), "cll" (complementary log-minus-log), "ll" (log-minus-log). For further details see choose.trans.
trans.tol The tolerance used to check whether the supplied transformation and its inverse combine to the identity. Only used if Transform is a list of two functions.
print Should the results be printed?
random.raters
If methods really are a random selection of raters, neither intercept nor slope different from 0 are sensible, so if this is TRUE, intercept and slope in the regression of difference on averages are fixed to 0 . Meaning that we are essentially looking at the raw differences as residuals.

DA.slope If this is TRUE, a slope of the differences in the verages is estimated, otherwise the relationship is assumed constant.
a Intercept in the linear relation of the differences $\mathrm{y} 1-\mathrm{y} 2$ to the averages $(y 1+y 2) / 2$. If a vector of length $>1$, this is used instead of $a, b$ and $s$, and $b$ and $s$ are ignored.
b Slope in the linear relstion of the differences to the averages.
s SD from the regression of the differences in the averages. Can be NA.
A Intercept in the linear relation of y1 on y2.
$B \quad$ Slope in the linear relation of y1 on y2.
$\mathrm{S} \quad$ SD for the linear relation of y 1 on y 2 . Can be NA.

## Details

If the input object contains replicate measurements these are taken as separate items in the order they appear in the dataset.

The functions DA2y and y2DA are convenience functions that convert the estimates of intercept, slope and sd from the regression of $D=y_{1}-y_{2}$ on $A=\left(y_{1}+y_{2}\right) / 2$, back and forth to the resulting intercept, slope and sd in the relationship between $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$, cf. Carstensen (2010), equation 6.

## Value

DA.reg returns a MethComp object, i.e. a list with three components, Conv, VarComp, and data. Conv is a three-dimensional array, with dimensions To, From (both with levels equal to the methods in data) and an unnamed dimension with levels "alpha", "beta", "sd.pred", "beta=1", referring to the linear relationship of To to From, "int(t-f)", "slope(t-f)", "sd(t-f)", referring to the regression of the differences on the averages, and "int(sd)", "slope(sd)", and "s.d.=K", referring to the regression of the absoulte residuals on the averages.

Converting from method $l$ to method $k$ using

$$
y_{k \mid l}=\alpha+\beta y_{l}
$$

with prediction standard deviation $\sigma$, just requires the entries [k,l,c("alpha", "beta", "sd.pred")], if we assume the s.d. is constant.

The next entry is the p -values for the hypothesis $\beta=1$, intercept and slope of the SD of the differences as a linear function of the average and finally p-value of the hypothesis that standard errors are constant over the range. The latter three are derived by regressing the absolute values of the residuals on the averages, and can be used to produce LoA where the s.d. increases (or decreases) by the mean, using the function DA2y.

The VarComp element of the list is NULL, and only present for compatibility with the print method for MethComp objects.
The data element is the input dataframe. The measurements in y are left un-transformed, even if data are transformed (i.e. if the Transform attribute of the object is non-null).
DA2y returns a 2 by 3 matrix with rownames c("y1|2", "y2|1") and columnnames c("int", "slope", "sd"), calculated under the assumption that the differences were formed as $\mathrm{D}<-\mathrm{y} 1$ - y 2 .
y2DA returns a 3-component vector with names c("DA-int", "DA-slope", "DA-sd"), referring to differences $D=y 1-y 2$ as a linear function of $A=(y 1+y 2) / 2$.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, bxc\$steno.dk

## References

B. Carstensen: Comparing methods of measurement: Extending the LoA by regression. Stat Med, 29:401-410, 2010.

## Examples

```
data( milk )
DA.reg( milk )
data( sbp )
print( DA.reg( sbp ), digits=3 )
# Slope, intercept : y1 = 0.7 + 1.2*y2 (0.4)
A <- c(0.7,1.2,0.4)
( y2DA( A ) )
( DA2y( y2DA( A ) ) )
```

Deming Regression with errors in both variables (Deming regression)

## Description

The function makes a regression of y on x , assuming that both x and y are measured with error. This problem only has an analytical solution if the ratio of the variances is known, hence this is required as an input parameter.

## Usage

```
Deming(x, y, vr = sdr^2, sdr = sqrt(vr),
            boot = FALSE, keep.boot = FALSE, alpha = 0.05)
```


## Arguments

```
x numerical variable.
y numerical variable.
vr The assumed known ratio of the (residual) variance of the ys relative to that
    of the xs. Defaults to 1.
sdr do. for standard deviations. Defaults to 1. vr takes precedence if both are
    given.
boot Should bootstrap estimates of standard errors of parameters be done? If
    boot==TRUE, 1000 bootstrap samples are done, if boot is numeric, boot
    samples are made.
keep.boot Should the 4-column matrix of bootstrap samples be returned? If TRUE, the summary is printed, but the matrix is returned invisibly. Ignored if
        boot=FALSE
alpha What significance level should be used when displaying confidence intervals?
```


## Details

The formal model underlying the procedure is based on a so called functional relationship:

$$
x_{i}=\xi_{i}+e_{1 i}, \quad y_{i}=\alpha+\beta \xi_{i}+e_{2 i}
$$

with $\operatorname{var}\left(e_{1 i}\right)=\sigma, \operatorname{var}\left(e_{2 i}\right)=\lambda \sigma$, where $\lambda$ is the known variance ratio.
The estimates of the residual variance is based on a weighting of the sum of squared deviations in both directions, divided by $n-2$. The ML estimate would use $2 n$ instead, but in the model we actually estimate $n+2$ parameters $-\alpha, \beta$ and the $n \xi s$.
This is not in Peter Sprent's book (see references).

## Value

If boot==FALSE a named vector with components Intercept, Slope, sigma.x, sigma.y, where x and y are substituted by the variable names.

If boot==TRUE a matrix with rows Intercept, Slope, sigma.x, sigma.y, and colums giving the estimates, the bootstrap standard error and the bootstrap estimate and c.i. as the 0.5 , $\alpha / 2$ and $1-\alpha / 2$ quantiles of the sample.

If keep.boot==TRUE this summary is printed, but a matrix with columns Intercept, Slope, sigma.x, sigma.y and boot rows is returned.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, [bxc@steno.dk](mailto:bxc@steno.dk), http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc.

## References

Peter Sprent: Models in Regression, Methuen \& Co., London 1969, ch.3.4.
WE Deming: Statistical adjustment of data, New York: Wiley, 1943. [This is a reference taken from a reference list - I never saw the book myself].

## See Also

MCmcmc

## Examples

```
# Some data
x <- runif(100,0,5) + rnorm(100)
y <- 2 + 3* x + rnorm(100,sd=2)
# Deming regression with equal variances, variance ratio 2.
Deming(x,y)
Deming(x,y,vr=2)
Deming(x,y,boot=TRUE)
bb <- Deming(x,y,boot=TRUE, keep.boot=TRUE)
str(bb)
# Plot data with the two classical regression lines
plot(x,y)
abline(lm(y~x))
ir <- coef(lm(x~y))
abline(-ir[1]/ir[2],1/ir[2])
abline(Deming(x,y,sdr=2)[1:2],col="red")
abline(Deming(x,y,sdr=10) [1:2],col="blue")
# Comparing classical regression and "Deming extreme"
summary(lm(y~x))
Deming(x,y,vr=1000000)
```

Enzyme Enzyme activity data

## Description

Three measurement of enzyme activity on 24 patients. The measurements is of the enzymes sucrase and alkaline phosphatase. The interest is to compare the 'homogenate' and 'pellet' methods.

## Usage

```
data(Enzyme)
```


## Format

A data frame with 72 observations on the following 3 variables.
meth a factor with levels SucHom SucPel Alkphos, representing three different measurements, i.e. homogenate and pellet values of sucrase, as well as homogenate values of alkaline.
item a numeric vector, the person ID for the 24 patients
y a numeric vector, the measurements on the enzyme activity.

## Source

R. L. Carter; Restricted Maximum Likelihood Estimation of Bias and Reliability in the Comparison of Several Measuring Methods; Biometrics, Dec., 1981, Vol. 37, No. 4, pp. 733-741.

## Examples

data(Enzyme)
Enzyme <- Meth( Enzyme )
summary ( Enzyme )
\# plot( Enzyme )

## fat Measurements of subcutaneous and visceral fat

## Description

43 persons had Subcutaneous and Visceral fat thickness measured at Steno Diabetes Center in 2006 by two observers; all measurements were done three times. The interest is to compare the measurements by the two observers. Persons are items, observers are methods, the three replicates are exchangeable within (person,observer)=(item,method)

## Usage

```
data(fat)
```


## Format

A data frame with 258 observations on the following 6 variables.
Id Person id.
Obs Observers, a factor with levels KL and SL.
Rep Replicate - exchangeable within person and observer.
Sub Subcutaneous fat measured in cm.
Vic Visceral fat measured in cm.

## Examples

```
data(fat)
str(fat)
vic <- Meth( fat, meth=2, item=1, repl="Rep", y="Vic" )
str(vic)
BA.est( vic, linked=FALSE )
```

glucose Glucose measurements by different methods

## Description

74 persons in 5 centres in Finland had blood glucose measured by 11 different methods, based on 4 different types of blood. Each person had blood sampled at $0,30,60$ and 120 min after a 75 g glucose load.

## Usage <br> data(glucose)

## Format

A data frame with 1302 observations on the following 6 variables.
meth Method of measurement. A factor with 11 levels: n.plas1 n.plas2h.caph.blood h.plas h.serum m.plas m.serum o.cap s.serum k.plas.
type Type of blood sample. A factor with 4 levels: blood plasma serum capil
item Person id.
time Time of blood sampling. Minutes since glucose load.
cent Center of sampling. Except for the two first methods, n.plas1 and n.plas2, samples were analyzed at the centres too
y Glucose measurement in mmol/l.

## Source

The study was conducted at the National Public Health Institute in Helsinki by Jaana Lindstrom.

## References

B Carstensen, J Lindstrom, J Sundvall, K Borch-Johnsen1, J Tuomilehto \& the DPS Study Group: Measurement of Blood Glucose: Comparison between different Types of Specimens. Annals of Clinical Biochemistry, to appear.

## Examples

```
data( glucose )
str( glucose )
# Use only plasma and serum as methods and make a Bland-Altman plot
gluc <- subset( glucose, type %in% c("plasma","serum") )
gluc$meth <- gluc$type
gluc$repl <- gluc$time
BA.plot( gluc )
```

hba.MC
A MCmcmc object from the hba1c data

## Description

This object is included for illustrative purposes. It is a result of a 5 -hour run using MCmcmc, with n.iter=100000.

## Usage

data(hba.MC)

## Format

The format is a MCmcmc object.

## Details

The data are the venous measurements from the hba1c dataset, using the day of analysis as replicate. Measurements are taken to be linked within replicate (=day of analysis).

## Examples

```
data(hba.MC)
attr(hba.MC,"mcmc.par")
# print.MCmcmc(hba.MC)
# One of the chains is really fishy (it's the first one)
# trace.MCmcmc(hba.MC)
# trace.MCmcmc(hba.MC,"beta")
# Try to have a look, excluding the first chain
# hba.MCsub <- subset.MCmcmc(hba.MC,chains=-1)
# trace.MCmcmc(hba.MCsub)
# trace.MCmcmc(hba.MCsub,"beta")
# A MCmcmc object also has class mcmc.list, so we can use the
# coda functions for covergence diagnostics:
# acfplot( subset.MCmcmc(hba.MC, subset="sigma"))
```

hba1c Measurements of HbA1c from Steno Diabetes Center

## Description

Three analysers (machines) for determination of HbA1c (glycosylated haemoglobin) were tested on samples from 38 individuals. Each had drawn a venous and capillary blood sample. These were analysed on five different days.

## Usage

data(hba1c)

## Format

A data frame with 835 observations on the following 6 variables.
dev Type of machine used. A factor with levels BR.V2, BR.VC and Tosoh.
type Type of blood analysed (capillary or venous). A factor with levels Cap Ven
item Person-id. A numeric vector
d.samp Day of sampling.
d. ana Day of laboratory analysis.
y The measured value of HbA1c.

## Details

In the terminology of method comparison studies, methods is the cross-classification of dev and type, and replicate is d.ana. It may be of interest to look at the effect of time between d. ana and d.samp, i.e. the time between sampling and analysis.

## Source

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center.

## References

These data were analysed as example in: Carstensen: Comparing and predicting between several methods of measurement, Biostatistics 5, pp. 399-413, 2004.

## Examples

```
data(hba1c)
str(hba1c)
hb1 <- with( hba1c,
    Meth( meth = interaction(dev,type),
                                    item = item,
                                    repl = d.ana-d.samp,
                    y = y, print=TRUE ) )
```

MCmcmc Fit a model for method comparison studies using WinBUGS

## Description

A model linking each of a number of methods of measurement linearly to the "true" value is set up in BUGS and run via the function bugs from the R2WinBUGS package.

## Usage

```
    MCmcmc( data,
            bias = "linear",
                        IxR = has.repl(data), linked = IxR,
            MxI = TRUE, matrix = MxI,
            varMxI = nlevels(factor(data$meth)) > 2,
            n.chains = 4,
            n.iter = 2000,
            n.burnin = n.iter/2,
            n.thin = ceiling((n.iter-n.burnin)/1000),
bugs.directory = getOption("bugs.directory"),
            debug = FALSE,
bugs.code.file = "model.txt",
        clearWD = TRUE,
        code.only = FALSE,
        ini.mult = 2,
        list.ini = TRUE,
            org = FALSE,
        program = "BRugs",
```

```
    Transform = NULL,
    trans.tol = 1e-6,
        ... )
## S3 method for class 'MCmcmc'
summary( object, alpha=0.05, ...)
## S3 method for class 'MCmcmc'
print( x, digits=3, alpha=0.05, ... )
## S3 method for class 'MCmcmc'
subset( x, subset=NULL, allow.repl=FALSE, chains=NULL, ... )
## S3 method for class 'MCmcmc'
mcmc( x, ... )
```


## Arguments

\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{ll}\text { data } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Data frame with variables meth, item, repl and y, possibly a Meth object. y } \\
\text { represents a measurement on an item (typically patient or sample) by } \\
\text { method meth, in replicate repl. }\end{array} \\
\text { bias } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Character. Indicating how the bias between metods should be modelled. } \\
\text { Possible values are "none", "constant", "linear" and "proportional". } \\
\text { Only the first three letters are significant. Case insensitive. }\end{array} \\
\text { LxR } & \begin{array}{l}\text { Logical. Are the replicates linked across methods, i.e. should a random item } \\
\text { by repl be included in the model. }\end{array}
$$ <br>

Logical, alias for IxR.\end{array}\right]\)| Logical, should a meth by item effect be included in the model? |
| :--- | :--- |

```
code.only Should MCmcmc just create a bugs code file and a set of inits? See the
        list.ini argument.
ini.mult Numeric. What factor should be used to randomly perturb the initial values
        for the variance componets, see below in details.
list.ini List of lists of starting values for the chains, or logical inidcating whether
    starting values should be generated. If TRUE (the default), the function
        VC.est will be used to generate initial values for the chains. list.ini is a
        list of length n.chains. Each element of which is a list with the following
        vectors as elements:
mu - length I
alpha - length M
beta - length M
sigma.mi - length M - if M is 2 then length 1
sigma.ir - length 1
sigma.mi - length M
sigma.res - length M
If code.only==TRUE, list.ini indicates whether a list of initial values is returned (invisibly) or not. If code.only==FALSE, list.ini==FALSE is ignored.
org Logical. Should the posterior of the original model parameters be returned too? If TRUE, the MCmcmc object will have an attribute, original, with the posterior of the parameters in the model actually simulated.
program Which program should be used for the MCMC simulation. Possible values are "brugs","openbugs","ob" (openBUGS), "winbugs","wb" (WinBUGS).
Transform Transformation of data (y) before analysis. See choose.trans.
trans.tol The tolerance used to check whether the supplied transformation and its inverse combine to the identity.
... Additional arguments passed on to bugs.
object A MCmcmc object
alpha \(\quad 1\) minus the the confidence level
x
A MCmcmc object
digits Number of digits after the decimal point when printing.
subset Numerical, character or list giving the variables to keep. If numerical, the variables in the MCmcmc object with these numbers are selected. If character, each element of the character vector is "grep"ed against the variable names, and the matches are selected to the subset. If a list each element is used in turn, numerical and character elements can be mixed.
allow.repl Should duplicate columns be allowed in the result?
chains Numerical vector giving the number of the chains to keep.
```


## Details

This function uses features currently only available under Windows, so the function returns NULL unless the operating system is Windows.

The model set up for an observation $y_{\text {mir }}$ is:

$$
y_{m i r}=\alpha_{m}+\beta_{m}\left(\mu_{i}+b_{i r}+c_{m i}\right)+e_{m i r}
$$

where $b_{i r}$ is a random item by repl interaction (included if "ir" \%in\% random) and $c_{m i}$ is a random meth by item interaction (included if "mi" \%in\% random). The $\mu_{i}$ 's are parameters in the model but are not monitored - only the $\alpha \mathrm{s}, \beta \mathrm{s}$ and the variances of $b_{i r}, c_{m i}$ and $e_{m i r}$ are monitored and returned. The estimated parameters are only determined up to a linear transformation of the $\mu \mathrm{s}$, but the linear functions linking methods are invariant. The identifiable conversion parameters are:

$$
\alpha_{m \cdot k}=\alpha_{m}-\alpha_{k} \beta_{m} / \beta_{k}, \quad \beta_{m \cdot k}=\beta_{m} / \beta_{k}
$$

The posteriors of these are derived and included in the posterior, which also will contain the posterior of the variance components (the sd's, that is). Furthermore, the posterior of the point where the conversion lines intersects the identity as well as the prediction sd's between any pairs of methods are included.
The function summary. MCmcmc method gives estimates of the conversion parameters that are consistent. Clearly,

$$
\operatorname{median}\left(\beta_{1 \cdot 2}\right)=1 / \operatorname{median}\left(\beta_{2 \cdot 1}\right)
$$

because the inverse is a monotone transformation, but there is no guarantee that

$$
\operatorname{median}\left(\alpha_{1 \cdot 2}\right)=\operatorname{median}\left(-\alpha_{2 \cdot 1} / \beta_{2 \cdot 1}\right)
$$

and hence no guarantee that the parameters derived as posterior medians produce conversion lines that are the same in both directions. Therefore, summary. MCmcmc computes the estimate for $\alpha_{2 \cdot 1}$ as

$$
\left(\operatorname{median}\left(\alpha_{1 \cdot 2}\right)-\operatorname{median}\left(\alpha_{2 \cdot 1}\right) / \operatorname{median}\left(\beta_{2 \cdot 1}\right)\right) / 2
$$

and the estimate of $\alpha_{1 \cdot 2}$ correspondingly. The resulting parameter estimates defines the same lines.

## Value

If code. only==FALSE, an object of class MCmcmc which is a mcmc.list object of the relevant parametes, i.e. the posteriors of the conversion parameters and the variance components transformed to the scales of each of the methods.

Furthermore, the object have the following attibutes:
random Character vector indicatinf which random effects ("ir","mi") were included in the model.
methods Character vector with the method names.
data The dataframe used in the analysis. This is used in plot.MCmemc when plotting points.
mcmc.par A list giving the number of chains etc. used to generate the object.
original If org=TRUE, an mcmc.list object with the posterior of the original model parameters, i.e. the variance components and the unidentifiable mean parameters.

Transform The transformation used to the measurements before the analysis.
If code.only==TRUE, a list containing the initial values is generated.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc, Lyle Gurrin, University of Melbourne, http://www.epi.unimelb.edu.au/about/staff/gurrin-lyle.

## References

B Carstensen: Comparing and predicting between several methods of measurement, Biostatistics, 5, pp 399-413, 2004

## See Also

BA.plot, plot.MCmcmc, print.MCmcmc, check.MCmcmc

## Examples

```
data( ox )
str( ox )
MCmcmc( ox, MI=TRUE, IR=TRUE, code.only=TRUE, bugs.code.file="" )
### What is written here is not necessarily correct on your machine.
# ox.MC <- MCmcmc( ox, MI=TRUE, IR=TRUE, n.iter=100, program="winbugs" )
# ox.MC <- MCmcmc( ox, MI=TRUE, IR=TRUE, n.iter=100 )
# data( ox.MC )
# str( ox.MC )
#print( ox.MC )
```

Meth Create a Meth object representing a method comparison study

## Description

Creates a dataframe with columns meth, item, (repl) and y.

## Usage

```
Meth( data=NULL,
            meth="meth", item="item", repl=NULL, y="y",
    print=!is.null(data), keep.vars=!is.null(data) )
## S3 method for class 'Meth'
summary( object, ...)
## S3 method for class 'Meth'
plot(x, which = NULL,
                col.LoA = "blue", col.pt = "black", cex.name = 2,
            var.range,
            diff.range,
            var.names = FALSE,
                pch = 16,
            cex = 0.7,
        Transform,
            ...)
## S3 method for class 'Meth'
mean(x, simplify=FALSE, ...)
## S3 method for class 'Meth'
subset(x, ...)
## S3 method for class 'Meth'
sample( x,
        how = "random",
                        N = if( how=="items" ) nlevels( x$item ) else nrow(x),
                                    ... )
## S3 method for class 'Meth'
transform(`_data`, ...)
```


## Arguments

data A dataframe.
meth Vector of methods, numeric, character or factor. Can also be a number or character referring to a column in data.
item Vector of items, numeric, character or factor. Can also be a number or character referring to a column in data.
repl Vector of replicate numbers, numeric, character or factor. Can also be a number or character referring to a column in data.
y Vector of measurements. Can also be a character or numerical vector pointing to columns in data which contains the measurements by different methods or a dataframe with columns representing measurements by different methods. In this case the argument meth is ignored, and the names of the columns are taken as method names.
print Logical: Should a summary result be printed?

| keep.vars | Logical. Should the remaining variables from the dataframe data be transferred to the Meth object. |
| :---: | :---: |
| object | A Meth object. |
| x | A Meth object. |
| which | A vector of indices or names of methods to plot. If NULL all methods in the object are plotted. |
| col. LoA | What color should be used for the limits of agreement. |
| col.pt | What color should be used for the points. |
| cex.name | Character expansion factor for plotting method names |
| var.range | The range of both axes in the scatter plot and the x-axis in the Bland-Altman plot be? |
| diff.range | The range of yaxis in the Bland-Altman plot. Defaults to a range as the x -axis, but centered around 0 . |
| var.names | If logical: should the individual panels be labelled with the variable names?. If character, then the values of the character will be used to label the methods. |
| pch | Plot character for points. |
| cex | Plot charcter expansion for points. |
| Transform | Transformation used to the measurements prior to plotting. Function or character, see choose.trans for possible values. |
| simplify | Should a Meth object with one row per (meth,item) be returned? |
| how | Character. What sampling strategy should be used, one of "random", "linked" or "item". Only the first letter is significant. See details for explanation. |
| N | How many observations should be sampled? |
| _data | A Meth object. |
|  | Ignored by the Meth and the summary and sample functions. In the plot function, parameters passed on to both the panel function plotting methods against each other, as well as to those plotting differences against means. |

## Details

In order to perform analyses of method comparisons it is convenient to have a dataframe with classifying factors, meth, item, and possibly repl and the response variable $y$. This function creates such a dataframe, and gives it a class, Meth, for which there is a number of methods: summary - tabulation, plot - plotting and a couple of analysis methods.

If there are replicates in the values of item it is assumed that those observations represent replicate measurements and different replicate numbers are given to those.
sample.Meth samples a Meth object with replacement. If how=="random", a random sample of the rows are sampled, the existing values of meth, item and y are kept but new replicate
numbers are generated. If how=="linked", a random sample of the linked observations (i.e. observations with identical item and repl values) are sampled with replacement and replicate numbers are kept. If how=="item", items are sampled with replacement, and their observations are included the sampled numner of times.

## Value

The Meth function returns a Meth object which is a dataframe with columns meth, item, (repl) and y. summary. Meth returns a table classified by method and no. of replicate measurements, extended with columns of the total number of items, total number of observations and the range of the measurements.

The mean. Meth returns a Meth object where means have been computed over replicates, and put in a variable mean.y. If simplify=TRUE, a smaller Meth object will be returned with only one row per (meth,item), and the means in the variable $y$. This is useful if the definition of a particular measurement method is the mean of a specified number of replicate measuements.

The subset.Meth returns a subset of the Meth rows. If a subset of the methods is selected, the new meth variable will have levels equal to the actually present levels of meth in the new Meth object. This is not teh case if subsetting is done using " [".

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, [bxc@steno.dk](mailto:bxc@steno.dk)

## Examples

```
data(fat)
# Different ways of selecting columns and generating replicate numbers
Sub1 <- Meth(fat,meth=2,item=1,repl=3,y=4,print=TRUE)
Sub2 <- Meth(fat,2,1,3,4,print=TRUE)
Sub3 <- Meth(fat,meth="Obs",item="Id",repl="Rep",y="Sub",print=TRUE)
summary( Sub3 )
plot( Sub3 )
# Use observation in different columns as methods
data( CardOutput )
head( CardOutput )
sv <- Meth( CardOutput, y=c("Svo2","Scvo2") )
# Note that replicates are generated if a non-unique item-id is used
sv <- Meth( CardOutput, y=c("Svo2","Scvo2"), item="Age" )
str( sv )
# A summary is not created if the the first argument (data=) is not used:
sv <- Meth( y=CardOutput[,c("Svo2","Scvo2")], item=CardOutput$VO2 )
summary(sv)
# Sample items
ssv <- sample.Meth( sv, how="item", N=8 )
# More than two methods
data( sbp )
plot( Meth( sbp ) )
# Creating non-unique replicate numbers per (meth,item) creates a warning:
data( hba1c )
hb1 <- with( hba1c,
```

Meth ( meth=dev, item=item, repl=d.ana-d.samp, y=y, print=TRUE ) )
hb2 <- with( subset(hba1c,type=="Cap"),
Meth ( meth=dev, item=item, repl=d.ana-d.samp, y=y, print=TRUE ) )

Meth.sim Simulate a dataframe containing replicate measurements on the same items using different methods.

## Description

Simulates a dataframe representing data from a method comparison study. It is returned as a Meth object.

## Usage

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Meth. sim( } \mathrm{Ni} & =100, \\
\mathrm{Nm} & =2, \\
\mathrm{Nr} & =3, \\
\mathrm{nr} & =\mathrm{Nr}, \\
\text { alpha } & =\mathrm{rep}(0, \mathrm{Nm}), \\
\text { beta } & =\operatorname{rep}(1, \mathrm{Nm}), \\
\text { mu.range } & =\mathrm{c}(0,100), \\
\text { sigma.mi } & =\operatorname{rep}(5, \mathrm{Nm}), \\
\text { sigma.ir } & =2.5, \\
\text { sigma.mir } & =\operatorname{rep}(5, \mathrm{Nm}), \\
\text { m.thin } & =1, \\
\text { i.thin } & =1)
\end{aligned}
$$

## Arguments

| Ni | The number of items (patient, animal, sample, unit etc.) |
| :--- | :--- |
| Nm | The number of methods of measurement. |
| Nr | The (maximal) number of replicate measurements for each (item,method) <br> pair. |
| nr | The minimal number of replicate measurements for each (item,method) pair. <br> If $\mathrm{nr}<\mathrm{Nr}$, the number of replicates for each (meth,item) pair is uniformly <br> distributed on the points $\mathrm{nr}: \mathrm{Nr}$, otherwise nr is ignored. Different number of <br> replicates is only meaningful if replicates are not linked, hence nr is also <br> ignored when sigma.ir>0. |
| alpha | A vector of method-specific intercepts for the linear equation relating the <br> "true" underlying item mean measurement to the mean measurement on each <br> method. |


| beta | A vector of method-specific slopes for the linear equation relating the "true" <br> underlying item mean measurement to the mean measurement on each <br> method. |
| :--- | :--- |
| mu.range | The range across items of the "true" mean measurement. Item means are <br> uniformly spaced across the range. If a vector length Ni is given, the values of <br> that vector will be used as "true" means. |
| sigma.mi | A vector of method-specific standard deviations for a method by item random <br> effect. Some or all components can be zero. |
| sigma.ir | Method-specific standard deviations for the item by replicate random effect. |
| sigma.mir | A vector of method-specific residual standard deviations for a method by <br> item by replicate random effect (residual variation). All components must be <br> greater than zero. |
| m.thin | Fraction of the observations from each method to keep. |
| i.thin | Fraction of the observations from each item to keep. If both m.thin and <br> i.thin are given the thinning is by their componentwise product. |
|  |  |

## Details

Data are simulated according to the following model for an observation $y_{m i r}$ :

$$
y_{m i r}=\alpha_{m}+\beta_{m}\left(\mu_{i}+b_{i r}+c_{m i}\right)+e_{m i r}
$$

where $b_{i r}$ is a random item by repl interaction (with standard deviation for method $m$ the corresponding component of the vector $\sigma_{i} r$ ), $c_{m i}$ is a random meth by item interaction (with standard deviation for method $m$ the corresponding component of the vector $\sigma_{m} i$ ) and $e_{m i r}$ is a residual error term (with standard deviation for method $m$ the corresponding component of the vector $\left.\sigma_{m} i r\right)$. The $\mu_{i}$ 's are uniformly spaced in a range specified by mu.range.

## Value

A Meth object, i.e. dataframe with columns meth, item, repl and y, representing results from a method comparison study.

## Author(s)

Lyle Gurrin, University of Melbourne, http://www.epi.unimelb.edu.au/about/staff/gurrin-lyle

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc

## See Also

summary.Meth, plot.Meth, MCmcmc

## Examples

```
Meth.sim( Ni=4, Nr=3 )
xx <- Meth.sim( Nm=3, Nr=5, nr=2, alpha=1:3, beta=c(0.7,0.9,1.2), m.thin=0.7 )
summary( xx )
plot( xx )
```

MethComp Summarize conversion equations and prediction intervals between methods.

## Description

Takes the results from BA.est, DA.reg, AltReg or MCmcmc and returns a MethComp object, suitable for displaying the relationship between methods in print pr graphic form.

## Usage

```
MethComp (obj)
\#\# S3 method for class 'MethComp'
print(x, digits=3, ... )
\#\# S3 method for class 'MethComp'
plot(x,
            wh. comp = 1:2,
            pl.type = "conv",
            sd.type = "const",
            axlim = range(x\$data\$y,na.rm=TRUE),
            diflim = axlim-mean(axlim),
            points = FALSE,
            repl.conn = FALSE,
            col.conn = "gray",
            lwd.conn = 1,
                grid = TRUE,
            N.grid \(=10\),
            col.grid \(=\) grey (0.9) ,
                    lwd \(=c(3,1,1)\),
            col.lines = "black",
    col.points = "black",
    pch.points = 16,
            eqn \(=\) is.null(attr(x,"Transform")),
            col.eqn \(=\) col.lines,
            font.eqn \(=2\),
            digits \(=2\),
                    alpha = NULL,
                    ... )
```

```
## S3 method for class 'MethComp'
lines(x,
    wh.comp = getOption("MethComp.wh.comp"),
    pl.type = getOption("MethComp.pl.type"),
    sd.type = getOption("MethComp.sd.type"),
    col.lines = "black",
            lwd = c(3,1,1),
        digits = 3,
        alpha = NULL,
                            ... )
## S3 method for class 'MethComp'
points(x,
    wh.comp = getOption("MethComp.wh.comp"),
    pl.type = getOption("MethComp.pl.type"),
    col.points = "black",
    pch.points = 16,
        repl.conn = FALSE,
        col.conn = "gray",
        lwd.conn = 1,
            ... )
```


## Arguments

obj A MethComp or MCmcmc object.
x
wh. comp
pl.type
sd.type
axlim The extent of the axes of the measurements.
diflim The extent of the axis of the differences.
points Logical. Should the points be included in the plot.
repl.conn Logical. Should replcate measurements be connected; this assumes linked replicates.
col.conn Color of the lines connecting replicates.
lwd.conn Width of the connection lines.
grid Should there be a grid? If numerical, gridlines are drawn at these locations.
N.grid Numeric. How many gridlines? If a vector of length $>1$, it will be taken as the position of the gridlines.
col.grid Color of the gridlines.

```
col.lines Color of the conversion lines.
lwd Numerical vector of length 3. Width of the conversion line and the prediction
        limits.
pch.points Plot character for points.
col.points Color of the points.
eqn Logical. Should the conversion equation be printed on the plot.
col.eqn Color of the conversion formula
font.eqn font for the conversion formula
digits The number of digits after the decimal point in the conversion formulae.
alpha 1 minus the confidence level for the prediction interval. If not given, the
    prediction interval is constructed as plus/minus twice the SD.
... Further arguments.
```


## Details

Using MethComp on the results from BA. est or AltReg is not necessary, as these two functions already return objetcs of class MethComp.
plot. MethComp plots the conversion function with prediction limits; always using the original scale of measurements. It also sets the options "MethComp. wh. cmp" indicating which two methods are plotted and "MethComp.pl.type" indicating whether a plot of methods against each other or a Bland-Altman type plot of differences versus averages. By default the conversion lines are plotted.
lines.MethComp and points.MethComp adds conversion lines with prediction limits and points to a plot.

## Value

MethComp returns a MethComp object, which is a list with three elements, Conv, a three-way array giving the linear conversion equations between methods, VarComp, a two-way array classified by methods and variance components and data, a copy of the original Meth object supplied - see the description under BA. est.
A MethComp object has an attribute Transform, which is either NULL, or a named list with elements trans and inv, both of which are functions. The first is the transformation applied to measurements before analysis; the results are all given on the transformed scale. The second is the inverse transformation; this is only used when plotting the resulting relationship between methods.
The methods print, plot, lines and points return nothing.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, [bxc@steno.dk](mailto:bxc@steno.dk).

## See Also

```
BA.est AltReg MCmcmc
```


## Examples

```
data( ox )
BA.ox <- BA.est( ox, linked=TRUE )
print( BA.ox )
AR.ox <- AltReg( ox, linked=TRUE )
print( AR.ox )
plot( AR.ox )
```

milk
Measurement of fat content of human milk by two different methods.

## Description

Fat content of human milk determined by measurement of glycerol released by enzymic hydrolysis of triglycerides (Trig) and measurement by the Standard Gerber method (Gerber). Units are ( $\mathrm{g} / 100 \mathrm{ml}$ ).

## Usage

```
data(milk)
```


## Format

A data frame with 90 observations on the following 3 variables.
meth a factor with levels Gerber Trig
item sample id
y a numeric vector

## Source

The dataset is adapted from table 3 in: JM Bland and DG Altman: Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 8:136-160, 1999. See: Lucas A, Hudson GJ, Simpson P, Cole TJ, Baker BA. An automated enzymic micromethod for the measurement of fat in human milk. Journal of Dairy Research 1987; 54: 487-92.

## Examples

```
data(milk)
str(milk)
milk <- Meth(milk)
plot(milk)
abline(0,1)
```


## Description

61 children had their blood oxygen content measured at the Children's Hospital in Melbourne, either with a chemical method analysing gases in the blood (CO) or by a pulse oximeter measuring transcutaneously (pulse). Replicates are linked between methods; i.e. replicate 1 for each of the two methods are done at the same time. However, replicate measurements were taken in quick succession so the pairs of measurements are exchangeable within person.

## Usage

```
data(ox)
```


## Format

A data frame with 354 observations on the following 4 variables.
meth Measurement methods, factor with levels CO, pulse
item Id for the child
repl Replicate of measurements. There were 3 measurements for most children, 4 had only 2 replicates with each method, one only 1
y Oxygen saturation in percent.

## Examples

```
data(ox)
str(ox)
ox <- Meth(ox)
with( ox, table(table(item)) )
# The effect of basing LoA on means over replicates:
par( mfrow=c(1,2), mar=c (4,4,1,4) )
BA.plot( ox, ymax=20 )
BA.plot( ox, ymax=20, mean.repl=TRUE )
```

ox.MC

A MCmcmc object from the oximetry data.

## Description

This object is included for illustrative purposes. It is a result of using MCmcmc, with n. iter=20000.

## Usage

```
data(ox.MC)
```


## Format

The format is a MCmcmc object.

## Details

The data are the ox dataset, where measurements are linked within replicate (=day of analysis).

## Examples

```
data(ox.MC)
attr(ox.MC,"mcmc.par")
## Not run:
print.MCmcmc(ox.MC)
trace.MCmcmc(ox.MC)
trace.MCmcmc(ox.MC,"beta")
    post.MCmcmc(ox.MC)
    post.MCmcmc(ox.MC,"beta")
## End(Not run)
# A MCmcmc object also has class mcmc.list, so we can use the
# coda functions for covergence diagnostics:
## Not run: acfplot( subset.MCmcmc(ox.MC, subset="sigma"))
```

PBreg Passing-Bablok regression

## Description

Implementation of the Passing-Bablok's procedure for assessing of the equality of measurements by two different analytical methods.

## Usage

PBreg( $\mathrm{x}, \mathrm{y}=\mathrm{NULL}$, conf.level=0.05, wh.meth=1:2)
\#\# S3 method for class 'PBreg'
print(x,...)

## Arguments

x a numeric vector of measurements by method A, alternatively a data frame of exactly two columns, first column with measurements by method A, second column with measurements by method B. If x is a Meth object, the methods from that are used in the regression.
y
a numeric vector of measurements by method $B$ - must be of the same length as x . If not provided, x must be a data frame of exactly 2 columns.
conf.level confidence level for calculation of confidence boundaries.
wh.meth Which of the methods from the Meth object are used in the regression.
... other parameters, currently ignored.

## Details

This is an implementation of the original Passing-Bablok procedure of fitting unbiased linear regression line to data in the method comparison studies. It calcualtes the unbiased slope and intercept, along with their confidence intervals. However, the tests for linearity is not yet fully implemented.

It doesn't matter which results are assigned to "Method A" and "Method B", however the "Method A" results will be plotted on the x -axis by the plot method.

## Value

PBreg returns an object of class "PBreg", for which the print and plot methods are defined.
An object of class "PBreg" is a list composed of the following elements:
coefficients a matrix of 3 columns and 2 rows, containing the estimates of the intercept and slope, along with their confidence boundaries.
residuals defined as in the "lm" class, as the response minus the fitted value.
fitted.values
the fitted values.
model the model data frame used.
n a vector of two values: the number of observations read, and the number of observations used.
$S \quad$ A vector of all slope estimates.
adj A vector of fit parameters, where $S s$ is the number of estimated slopes
(length(S)), $K$ is the offset for negative slopes, M1 and M2 are the locations of confidence boundaries in S , and $l$ and $L$ are the numbers of points above and below the fitted line, used in cusum calculation.
cusum A vector of cumulative sums of residuals sorted by the D-rank.
Di A vector of D-ranks.

## Note

Please note that this method can become very computationally intensive for larger numbers of observations. One can expect a reasonable computation times for datasets with fewer than 100 observations.

## Author(s)

Michal J. Figurski [mfigrs@gmail.com](mailto:mfigrs@gmail.com)

## References

Passing, H. and Bablok, W. (1983), A New Biometrical Procedure for Testing the Equality of Measurements from Two Different Analytical Methods. Journal of Clinical Chemistry and Clinical Biochemistry, Vol 21, 709-720

## See Also

```
plot.PBreg, Deming.
```


## Examples

```
## Model data frame generation
a <- data.frame(x=seq(1, 30)+rnorm(mean=0, sd=1, n=30),
    y=seq(1, 30)*rnorm(mean=1, sd=0.4, n=30))
## Call to PBreg
x <- PBreg(a)
print(x)
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(x, s=1:4)
## A real data example
data(milk)
milk <- Meth(milk)
summary(milk)
PBmilk <- PBreg(milk)
par(mfrow=c(2,2))
plot(PBmilk, s=1:4)
```

PEFR Peak Expiratory Flow Rate (PEFR) measurements with Wright peak flow and mini Wright peak flow meter.

## Description

Measurement of PEFR with Wright peak flow and mini Wright peak flow meter on 17 individuals.

## Usage

```
data(PEFR)
```


## Format

A data frame with 68 observations on the following 3 variables.
meth a factor with levels Wright and Mini, representing measurements by a Wright peak flow meter and a mini Wright meter respectively, in random order.
item Numeric vector, the person ID.
y Numeric vector, the measurements, i.e. PEFR for the two measurements with a Wright peak flow meter and a mini Wright meter respectively. The measurement unit is $1 / \mathrm{min}$.
repl Numeric vector, replicate number. Replicates are exchangeable within item.

## Source

J. M. Bland and D. G. Altman (1986) Statistical Methods for Assessing Agreement Between Two Methods of Clinical Measurement, Lancet. 1986 Feb 8;1(8476):307-10.

## Examples

```
data(PEFR)
PEFR <- Meth(PEFR)
summary(PEFR)
plot(PEFR)
plot(perm.repl(PEFR))
```

perm.repl Manipulate the replicate numbering within (item,method)

## Description

Replicate numbers are generated within (item,method) in a dataframe representing a method comparison study. The function assumes that observations are in the correct order within each (item,method), i.e. if replicate observations are non-exchangeable within method, linked observations are assumed to be in the same order within each (item,method).

## Usage

```
    make.repl( data )
        has.repl( data )
    perm.repl( data )
```


## Arguments

data A Meth object or a data frame with columns meth, item and y.

## Details

make.repl just adds replicate numbers in the order of the data.frame rows. perm.repl is designed to explore the effect of permuting the replicates within (item,method). If replicates are truly exchangeable within methods, the inference should be independent of this permutation.

## Value

make.repl returns a dataframe with a column, repl added or replaced, whereas has.repl returns a logical indicating wheter a combination of (meth,item) wioth more that one valid $y$ value.
perm.repl returns a dataframe of class Meth where the rows (i.e. replicates) are randomly permuted within (meth,item), and subsequently ordered by (meth,item,repl).

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc

## See Also

```
perm.repl
```


## Examples

```
data(ox)
xx <- subset( ox, item<4 ) [,-3]
cbind( xx, make.repl(xx) )
cbind( make.repl(xx), perm.repl(xx) )
data( ox )
xx <- subset( ox, item<4 )
cbind( xx, perm.repl(xx) )
# Replicates are linked in the oximetry dataset, so randomly permuting
# them clearly inflates the limits of agreement:
par( mfrow=c(1,2), mar=c(4,4,1,4) )
BA.plot( ox , ymax=30, digits=1 )
BA.plot( perm.repl(ox), ymax=30, digits=1 )
```

plot.MCmcmc Plot estimated conversion lines and formulae.

## Description

Plots the pairwise conversion formulae between methods from a MCmcmc object.

## Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'MCmcmc'
plot( x,
```

```
    axlim = range( attr(x,"data")\$y, na.rm=TRUE ),
```

    axlim = range( attr(x,"data")\$y, na.rm=TRUE ),
    wh.cmp,
wh.cmp,
lwd.line $=c(3,1), ~ c o l . l i n e=r e p(" b l a c k ", 2), ~ l t y . l i n e=r e p(1,2)$,
lwd.line $=c(3,1), ~ c o l . l i n e=r e p(" b l a c k ", 2), ~ l t y . l i n e=r e p(1,2)$,
eqn $=$ TRUE, digits $=2$,
eqn $=$ TRUE, digits $=2$,
grid $=$ FALSE, col.grid=gray(0.8),
grid $=$ FALSE, col.grid=gray(0.8),
points = FALSE,
points = FALSE,
col.pts = "black", pch.pts = 16, cex.pts = 0.8,
col.pts = "black", pch.pts = 16, cex.pts = 0.8,
... )

```
... )
```


## Arguments

x
axlim
wh.cmp Numeric vector or vector of method names. Which of the methods should be included in the plot?
lwd.line Numerical vector of length 2. The width of the conversion line and the prediction limits. If the second values is 0 , no prediction limits are drawn.
col.line Numerical vector of length 2. The color of the conversion line and the prediction limits.
lty.line Numerical vector of length 2. The line types of the conversion line and the prediction limits.
eqn Should the conversion equations be printed on the plot?. Defaults to TRUE.
digits How many digits after the decimal point shoudl be used when printing the conversion equations.
grid Should a grid be drawn? If a numerical vector is given, the grid is drawn at those values.
col.grid What color should the grid have?
points Logical or character. Should the points be plotted. If TRUE or "repl" paired values of single replicates are plotted. If "perm", replicates are randomly permuted within (item, method) befor plotting. If "mean", means across replicates within item, method are formed and plotted.
col.pts What color should the observation have.
pch.pts What plotting symbol should be used.
cex.pts What scaling should be used for the plot symbols.
... Parameters to pass on. Currently not used.

## Value

Nothing. The lower part of a (M-1) by (M-1) matrix of plots is drawn, showing the pairwise conversion lines. In the corners of each is given the two conversion equations together with the prediction standard error.

## See Also

MCmcmc, print.MCmcmc

## Examples

```
## Not run: data( hba1c )
## Not run: str( hba1c )
## Not run: hba1c <- transform( subset( hba1c, type=="Ven" ),
            meth = dev,
            repl = d.ana )
## End(Not run)
## Not run: hb.res <- MCmcmc( hba1c, n.iter=50 )
## Not run: data( hba.MC )
## Not run: str( hba.MC )
## Not run: par( ask=TRUE )
## Not run: plot( hba.MC )
## Not run: plot( hba.MC, pl.obs=TRUE )
```

```
plot.PBreg Passing-Bablok regression - plot method
```


## Description

A plot method for the "PBreg" class object, that is a result of Passing-Bablok regression.

## Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'PBreg'
plot(x,
```

```
pch=21, bg="#2200aa33",
```

pch=21, bg="\#2200aa33",
xlim=c(0, max(x$model)), ylim=c(0, max(x$model)),
xlim=c(0, max(x$model)), ylim=c(0, max(x$model)),
xlab=x$meths[1], ylab=x$meths[2], subtype=1, colors =
xlab=x$meths[1], ylab=x$meths[2], subtype=1, colors =
list(CI = "\#ccaaff50", fit = "blue", ref = "\#99999955",
list(CI = "\#ccaaff50", fit = "blue", ref = "\#99999955",
bars = "gray", dens = "\#8866aaa0", ref2 = c("\#1222bb99",
bars = "gray", dens = "\#8866aaa0", ref2 = c("\#1222bb99",
"\#bb221299")), ...)

```
"#bb221299")), ...)
```


## Arguments

$x \quad$ an object of class "PBreg"
pch Which plotting character should be used for the points.
$\mathrm{bg} \quad$ Background colour for the plotting character.

| xlim | Limits for the x-axis. |
| :--- | :--- |
| ylim | Limits for the y-axis. |
| xlab | Label on the x-axis. |
| ylab | Label on the y-axis. |
| subtype | a numeric value or vector, that selects the desired plot subtype. Subtype $\mathbf{1}$ is <br> an x-y plot of raw data with regression line and confidence boundaries for the <br> fit as a shaded area. This is the default. Subtype $\mathbf{2}$ is a ranked residuals plot. |
|  | Subtype $\mathbf{3}$ is the "Cusum" plot useful for assessing linearity of the fit. Plot <br> subtypes 1 through 3 are standard plots from the 1983 paper by Passing and |
|  | Bablok - see the reference. Plot subtype $\mathbf{4}$ is a histogram (with overlaid <br> density line) of the individual slopes. The range of this plot is limited to 5 x |
| IQR for better visibility. |  |

## Author(s)

Michal J. Figurski [mfigrs@gmail.com](mailto:mfigrs@gmail.com)

## References

Passing, H. and Bablok, W. (1983), A New Biometrical Procedure for Testing the Equality of Measurements from Two Different Analytical Methods. Journal of Clinical Chemistry and Clinical Biochemistry, Vol 21, 709-720

## See Also

PBreg, Deming.

## Examples

```
## Model data frame generation
a <- data.frame(x=seq(1, 30)+rnorm(mean=0, sd=1, n=30),
    y=seq(1, 30)*rnorm(mean=1, sd=0.4, n=30))
## Call to PBreg
x <- PBreg(a)
print(x)
par(mfrow=c (2,2))
plot(x, s=1:4)
## Or the same using "Meth" object
```

```
a <- Meth(a, y=1:2)
x <- PBreg(a)
print(x)
par(mfrow=c (2,2))
plot(x, s=1:4)
```

plot.VarComp Plot the a posteriori densities for variance components

## Description

When a method comparison model i fitted and stored in a MCmcmc object, then the posterior distributions of the variance components are plotted, in separate displays for method.

## Usage

```
## S3 method for class 'VarComp'
plot( x,
            which,
    lwd.line = rep(2, 4),
    col.line = c("red", "green", "blue", "black"),
    lty.line = rep(1, 4),
            grid = TRUE,
    col.grid = gray(0.8),
                    rug = TRUE,
            probs = c(5, 50, 95),
            tot.var = FALSE,
            same.ax = TRUE,
meth.names = TRUE,
    VC.names = "first",
                                    ... )
```


## Arguments

$x \quad$ A MCmcmc object.
which For which of the compared methods should the plot be made?
lwd.line Line width for drawing the density.
col.line Color for drawing the densities.
lty.line Line type for drawing the densities.
grid Logical. Should a vertical grid be set up? If numeric it is set up at the values specified. If same.ax, the range of the grid is taken to be the extent of the x -axis for all plots.
col.grid The color of the grid.
rug Should a small rug at the bottom show posterior quantiles?
probs
Numeric vector with numbers in the range from 0 to 100 , indicating the posterior percentiles to be shown in the rug.
tot.var Should the posterior of the total variance also be shown?
same.ax Should the same axes be used for all methods?
meth.names Should the names of the methods be put on the plots?
VC.names Should the names of the variance components be put on the first plot ("first"), the last ("last"), all ("all") or none ("none"). Only the first letter is needed.
... Parameters passed on the density furnction that does the smoothing of the posterior samples.

## Details

The function generates a series of plots, one for each method compared in the MCmcmc object supplied (or those chosen by which=). Therefore the user must take care to set mfrow or mfcol to capture all the plots.

## Value

A list with one element for each method. Each element of this is a list of densities, i.e. of objects of class density, one for each variance component.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc

## See Also

plot.MCmcmc, MCmcmc, check.MCmcmc

## Examples

```
data( ox.MC )
par( mfrow=c(2,1) )
plot.VarComp( ox.MC, grid=c(0,15) )
```

plvol Measurements of plasma volume measured by two different methods.

## Description

For each subject (item) the plasma volume is expressed as a percentage of the expected value for normal individuals. Two alternative sets of normal values are used, named Nadler and Hurley respectively.

## Usage

```
data(plvol)
```


## Format

A data frame with 198 observations on the following 3 variables.
meth a factor with levels Hurley and Nadler
item a numeric vector
y a numeric vector

## Source

The datset is adapted from table 2 in: JM Bland and DG Altman: Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 8:136-160, 1999.
Originally supplied to Bland $\backslash \&$ Altman by C Dore, see: Cotes PM, Dore CJ, Liu Yin JA, Lewis SM, Messinezy M, Pearson TC, Reid C. Determination of serum immunoreactive erythropoietin in the investigation of erythrocytosis. New England Journal of Medicine 1986; 315: 283-87.

## Examples

```
data(plvol)
str(plvol)
plot( y[meth=="Nadler"]~y[meth=="Hurley"],data=plvol,
    xlab="Plasma volume (Hurley) (pct)",
    ylab="Plasma volume (Nadler) (pct)" )
abline(0,1)
par( mar=c(4,4,1,4) )
BA.plot(plvol)
```

rainman Perception of points in a swarm

## Description

Five raters were asked to guess the number of points in a swarm for 10 different figures (which - unknown to the raters - were each repeated three times).

## Usage <br> ```data(rainman)```

## Format

A data frame with 30 observations on the following 6 variables.
SAND The true number of points in the swarm. Each picture is replicated thrice
ME Ratings from judge 1
TM Ratings from judge 2
AJ Ratings from judge 3
BM Ratings from judge 4
LO Ratings from judge 5

## Details

The raters had approximately 10 seconds to judge each picture, and they thought it were 30 different pictures. Before starting the experiment they were shown 6 (unrelated) pictures and were told the number of points in each of those pictures. The SAND column contains the picture id (which is also the true number of points in the swarm).

## Source

Collected by Claus Ekstrom.

## Examples

```
library(MethComp)
data( rainman )
str( rainman )
RM <- Meth( rainman, item=1, y=2:6 )
head( RM )
BA.est( RM, linked=FALSE )
library(lme4)
mf <- lmer( y ~ meth + item + (1|MI),
    data = transform( RM, MI=interaction(meth,item) ) )
summary( mf )
mr <- lmer( y ~ (1|meth) + (1|item) + (1|MI),
    data = transform( RM, MI=interaction(meth,item) ) )
summary( mr )
#
# Point swarms were generated by the following program
#
## Not run:
set.seed(2) # Original
npoints <- sample(4:30)*4
nplots <- 10
pdf(file="swarms.pdf", onefile=TRUE)
s1 <- sample(npoints[1:nplots])
print(s1)
for (i in 1:nplots) {
    n <- s1[i]
    set.seed(n)
    x <- runif(n)
```

```
    y <- runif(n)
    plot(x,y, xlim=c(-.15, 1.15), ylim=c(-.15, 1.15), pch=20, axes=F,
            xlab="", ylab="")
}
s1 <- sample(npoints[1:nplots])
print(s1)
for (i in 1:nplots) {
    n <- s1[i]
    set.seed(n)
    x <- runif(n)
    y <- runif(n)
    plot(y,x, xlim=c(-.15, 1.15), ylim=c(-.15, 1.15), pch=20, axes=F,
        xlab="", ylab="")
}
s1 <- sample(npoints[1:nplots])
print(s1)
for (i in 1:nplots) {
        n <- s1[i]
        set.seed(n)
        x <- runif(n)
        y <- runif(n)
        plot(-x,y, xlim=c(-1.15, .15), ylim=c(-.15, 1.15), pch=20, axes=F,
                xlab="", ylab="")
}
dev.off()
## End(Not run)
```

sbp
Systolic blood pressure measured by three different methods.

## Description

For each subject (item) there are three replicate measurements by three methods (two observers, J and R and the automatic machine, S). The replicates are linked within (method,item).

## Usage

data(sbp)

## Format

A data frame with 765 observations on the following 4 variables:
meth Methods, a factor with levels J(observer 1), R(observer 2) and S(machine)
item Person id, numeric.
repl Replicate number, a numeric vector
y Systolic blood pressure masurement, a numeric vector

## Source

The dataset is adapted from table 1 in: JM Bland and DG Altman: Measuring agreement in method comparison studies. Statistical Methods in Medical Research, 8:136-160, 1999. Originally supplied to Bland $\backslash \&$ Altman by E. O'Brien, see: Altman DG, Bland JM. The analysis of blood pressure data. In O'Brien E, O'Malley K eds. Blood pressure measurement. Amsterdam: Elsevier, 1991: 287-314.

## See Also

```
sbp.MC
```


## Examples

```
data(sbp)
par( mfrow=c(2,2), mar=c(4,4,1,4) )
BA.plot( sbp, comp=1:2 )
BA.plot( sbp, comp=2:3 )
BA.plot( sbp, comp=c(1,3) )
BA.est( sbp, linked=TRUE )
```

sbp.MC A MCmcme object from the sbp data

## Description

This object is included for illustrative purposes. It is a result of using MCmcmc, with n.iter $=100000$ on the dataset sbp from this package.

## Usage

data(sbp.MC)

## Format

The format is a MCmcmc object.

## Details

The basic data are measurements of systolic blood pressure from the sbp dataset. Measurements are taked to be linked within replicate. The code used to generate the object was:

```
library(MethComp)
data( sbp )
spb <- Meth( sbp )
sbp.MC <- MCmcmc( sbp, linked=TRUE, n.iter=100000 ) )
```


## Examples

```
data(sbp.MC)
# How was the data generated
attr(sbp.MC,"mcmc.par")
# Traceplots
trace.MCmcmc(sbp.MC)
trace.MCmcmc(sbp.MC,"beta")
# A MCmcmc object also has class mcmc.list, so we can use the
# standard coda functions for covergence diagnostics:
acfplot( subset.MCmcmc(sbp.MC,subset="sigma") )
# Have a look at the correlation between the 9 variance parameters
pairs.MCmcmc( sbp.MC )
# Have a look at whether the MxI variance componnts are the same between methods:
pairs.MCmcmc( sbp.MC, subset=c("ir"), eq=TRUE,
    panel=function(x,y,...)
                                {
                abline(0,1)
                abline(v=median(x),h=median(y), col="gray")
                points(x,y,...)
                }
    )
```

scint

Relative renal function by Scintigraphy

## Description

Measurements of the relative kidney function (=renal function) for 111 patients. The percentage of the total renal function present in the left kidney is determined by one reference method, DMSA (static) and by one of two dynamic methods, DTPA or EC.

## Usage

```
data(scint)
```


## Format

A data frame with 222 observations on the following 5 variables:
meth Measurement method, a factor with levels DMSA, DTPA, EC.
item Patient identification.
y Percentage of total kidney function in the left kidney.
age Age of the patient.
sex Sex of the patient, a factor with levels F, M.

## Source

F. C. Domingues, G. Y. Fujikawa, H. Decker, G. Alonso, J. C. Pereira, P. S. Duarte: Comparison of Relative Renal Function Measured with Either 99 mTc -DTPA or 99 mTc -EC Dynamic Scintigraphies with that Measured with 99 mTc -DMSA Static Scintigraphy. International Braz J Urol Vol. 32 (4): 405-409, 2006

## Examples

```
data(scint)
str(scint)
# Make a Bland-Altman plot for each of the possible comparisons:
par(mfrow=c(1,2),mgp=c(3,1,0)/1.6,mar=c(3,3,1,3))
BA.plot(scint,comp.levels=c(1,2),ymax=15,digits=1,cex=2)
BA.plot(scint,comp.levels=c(1,3),ymax=15,digits=1,cex=2)
```

TDI Compute Lin's Total deviation index

## Description

This index calculates a value such that a certain fraction of difference between methods will be numerically smaller than this.

## Usage

```
TDI( y1, y2, p = 0.05, boot = 1000, alpha = 0.05 )
```


## Arguments

| y1 | Measurements by one method. |
| :--- | :--- |
| y2 | Measurements by the other method |
| p | The fraction of items with differences numerically exceeding the TDI |
| boot | If numerical, this is the number of bootstraps. If FALSE no confidence interval <br> for the TDI is produced. |
| alpha | $1-$ confidende degree. |

## Details

If boot==FALSE a single number, the TDI is returned. If boot is a number, the median and the 1 -alpha/ 2 central interval based on boot resamples are returned too, in a named vector of length 4.

## Value

A list with 3 components. The names of the list are preceeded by the criterion percentage, i.e. the percentage of the population that the TDI is devised to catch.

TDI The numerically computed value for the TDI. If boot is numeric, a vector of median and a bootstrap c.i. is appended.

TDI The approximate value of the TDI
Limits of Agreement
Limits of agreement

## Note

The TDI is a measure which esentially is a number K such that the interval $[-\mathrm{K}, \mathrm{K}]$ contains the limits of agreement.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, bxc@steno.dk

## References

LI Lin: Total deviation index for measuring individual agreement with applications in laboratory performance and bioequivalence, Statistics in Medicine, 19, 255-270 (2000)

## See Also

```
BA.plot,corr.measures
```


## Examples

```
data(plvol)
pw <- to.wide(plvol)
with(pw,TDI(Hurley,Nadler))
```

```
to.wide Functions to convert between long and wide representations of data.
```


## Description

These functions are merely wrappers for reshape. Given the complicated syntax of reshape and the particularly simple structure of this problem, the functions facilitate the conversion enormously.

## Usage

```
to.wide( data, warn=TRUE )
to.long( data, vars )
```


## Arguments

## data A Meth object.

warn Logical. Should a warning be printed when replicates are taken as items?
vars The variables representing measurements by different methods. Either a character vector of names, or a numerical vector with the number of the variables in the dataframe.

## Details

If data represents method comparisons with exchangeable replicates within method, the transformation to wide format does not necessarily make sense. Also recognizes a

## Value

A dataframe.

## Author(s)

Bendix Carstensen, Steno Diabetes Center, http://www.biostat.ku.dk/~bxc

## See Also

```
perm.repl
```


## Examples

```
data( milk )
str( milk )
mw <- to.wide( milk )
str( mw )
( mw <- subset( mw, as.integer(item) < 3 ) )
to.long( mw, 3:4 )
```

VitCap Merits of two instruments designed to measure certain aspects of human lung function (Vital Capacity)

## Description

Measurement on certain aspects of human lung capacity for 72 patients on 4 instrument-operative combination, i.e. two different instruments and two different users, a skilled one and a new one.

## Usage

data(VitCap)

## Format

A data frame with 288 observations on the following 5 variables.
meth a factor with levels StNew, StSkil, ExpNew and ExpSkil, representing the instrument by user combinations. See below.
item a numeric vector, the person ID, i.e. the 72 patients
y a numeric vector, the measurements, i.e. vital capacity.
user a factor with levels New Skil, for the new user and the skilled user
instrument a factor with levels Exp and St, for the experimental instrument and the standard one.

## Source

V. D. Barnett, Simultaneous Pairwise Linear Structural Relationships, Biometrics, Mar. 1969, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp. 129-142.

## Examples

```
data(VitCap)
Vcap <- Meth( VitCap )
str( Vcap )
plot( Vcap )
```

